G. R. S. 59] GPB—15,000 20 12 15

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

1898-1899
~~191~~
(Muzkai)

File No. 11

Serial Nos.

Papers Filed.

Month July 1907

SUBJECT.

File relating to the items of palace Charges of Muzkai Institutions of palace Management debitable to the State Budget.

PREVIOUS REFERENCES. LATER REFERENCES.

81-09

No 27 Guardian's Office Mysore 21st May 1869.

To

The Secretary to the Chief
Commissioner for the Govt.
of Mysore.

Sir

In Para 130 of Major Elliot's report on the estimate of Palace Expenditure he expressed his opinion that the five Chuttrums in the neighbourhood of Mysore founded by members of the Maha Rajah's family, and the Pagodas in which they are personally interested should be under the management of the Palace Authorities, and I have the honor to state that, my own Experience induces me to concur in the above opinion.

The Chuttrums are connected with pleasure Bungalows and other property of the Palace situated in the same premises, that a separate management for them can be neither economical nor convenient. The Pagodas referred to by Major Elliot are chiefly the Krishna Swamy and Chamoondaswamy Temples

which are the family religious institutions of the Maharajah, rather than of general public interest. The religious ceremonies and observances of the Palace, are so much connected with them that some desire is felt to bring the Palace opinion to bear upon this management more directly than can be done when placed in the hands of a totally distinct department; and as an efficient control can now be secured in the responsible agency appointed for the management of Palace affairs, I am induced to recommend the arrangement. The four smaller temples noted in the margin are also intimately connected with the above, chief institutions and with the Palace, and may be included in the same management should it meet with the chief Commissioner's approval.

I have the honor to be

Sir

Your most obedient Servant

J. Haines

Guardian to H.H. the Maha Rajah of Mysore

1 Sriranganatha temple. 2 Varahaswamy temple.
3 Lakshmi Ramanaswamy temple.
4 Gottahara Ishwara temple.

1869. 97 No 74

To The Secretary to the
Chief Commissioner

Dated 21st
Desp.d 22d } May/69
Received 25th
Registered 26th } —do—

No 27.

Proposes that Churches and Temples founded by the members of His Highness's family in the neighbourhood of Mysore may be placed under the Management of Palace authorities.

Ans Communicated

No 108

Mysore Chief Commissioner's Office
Residency Department
Camp Nundidroog 27th January 1869.

To
The Guardian to His Highness
the Maharaja of Mysore.

Sir,

In Communicating the Chief Comr's assent to the proposals submitted in Mr. H. Holmes' letter No 270 of 21st inst. to, I am directed to state that it is desirable to identify clearly the Chuttrums & Pagodas, the management of which it is proposed to re-transfer to the Palace authorities. At page 41-42 of the printed correspondence, the following Chuttrums are mentioned.

No 5 Kabba Kulla Chuttrum.
" 6 Sidh Linga poor do
" 7 Konovilas do
" 8 Analanahulli Kaadu Kada Jotè
Sunnidhanum Chuttrum.
" 9 Hosoor Soobanna do
" 10 Krishna Vilas Sunnidhanum
Sadaverta Chuttrum.

Apparently one of these is excluded from the recommendation, which only mentions 5 Chuttrums.

It is requested that the apparent discrepancy may be corrected, and also that the Temples may be specified according to the numbers given in the printed Correspondence.

It should further be stated whether for the ensuing year the charge should be debited to the Municipal Office or Extra Charge in the Palace Budget.

27/5/69

Municipal Secretary.

BR.

1869 (illegible)

To The Superintendent of Police
The Municipality of Alleppey

Dated 27th May
Department of Alleppey

No 198

Communicated the
of the Municipality to the Inspector
- cted thereon that the Collr desires
Collector's 29 dated 21st instant
on the subject of Villakkuvarom

Answered 8 June

No. 39

To the Offg Secretary to the
Chief Commissioner
Bangalore

Dated Mysore 8th June 1869

Sir,

With reference to your letter of the 27th ultimo No. 108, I have the honor to report that the Chattrams referred to by Lieutenant Colonel Haines are the same that are therein mentioned and are noted in the margin. The number was inadvertently stated to be 5.

The Pagodas having been already in the Mooztoor department, though one of them was left under the management of the Maharajah, did not come under the late settlement and are therefore no where mentioned in the printed correspondence. Their names are also entered in the margin. The first of them alone was under the management of the late Maharajah but the second is not the less a family temple

No.		
1	Kuttaradami Pagoda in the Fort	No. 5
2	Channakeshavaswami Temple on the Channapatna hills the Chattram still	6
3	Kottana Tully Temple below the Chattram still	7
4	Lakshmi Narasimha Swamy Temple in the Fort	8
5	Varadarajaswamy Temple in the Fort	9
6	Venkataramana Swamy Temple in the Fort	10

Kuttay/Kutty Chattram
Siddeshwara Temple Chattram
Ahmedullas Chattram
Alambulli Samarkala Setty Samudaramma Chattram
Narasa Seshamma Chattram
Krishna Vilas Sadarute Chattram

of his highness. The others are less important institutions but similarly situated, no 3 being closely allied to no 2 and forming a part of the same charge, and nos 4, 5, & 6 being minor temples within the Mysore Fort in which the family religious services are frequently rendered.

As the Pagodas have long formed a State charge in the Moozrai department, it seems expedient to treat the Chattrams in a similar manner according to the intention of the late settlement though leaving them under the Palace management.

I have the honor to be
Sir,
Your most obedt Servant

Superintendent in charge

H.V.
M.A

1865 Rec'd No 101

From The Superintendent of the
Allahpuram Division

Dated 8th }
Rec'd 14th } June

No 39

In reply to letter No 1089 of
27th Ultimo, Submits report
on the subject of Ghauts to be
proposed to be under the Salem
management.

Chy Comm 14/6
To Letter for information
B 14/6/65

No 70

Guardian's Office Mysore
Dated 7th November 1870

To

The Officiating Secretary to the
Chief Commissioner

Sir,

With reference to the correspondence marginally noted, I have the honor to request that I may be favoured with the instructions of the Chief Commissioner in regard to the transfer to the Palace management of a few temples immediately connected with the Palace, which as they were proposed by my predecessor, and received the assent of Mr Bowring— In my subsequent letter of the 22d January 1870 No 176, confined myself to the Chamundi Trust, of which more than one half was the reason I urged for the transfer of the Trust to the Chief of the Palace under the general management of the late Palace Trustees

Letter No 27 of the 21st May 1869 to the Secretary to the Chief Commissioner—

Letter No 108 of the 27th May 1869 from the Secretary to the Chief Commissioner—

Letter No 39 of the 7th June 1869 to the Secretary to the Chief Commissioner—

From the Secretary to the Chief Commissioner dated 2d Feby 1870 No 463—

to hold good, especially in respect of four of them which are situated within the Mysore Fort, where it is necessary that there should be no room for any collision of authority and it will be seen that the proposals in regard to them have already received the general assent of Mr. Bowring – If the measure is sanctioned, the expenditure of the Moozrey Grants allotted to the institutions will be regularly accounted for –

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant

J. B. Malleson

Guardian to H. H. the Maharaja

of Mysore

№ 167

Mysore Chief Commrs Office
Residency Department
Bangalore November 1870.

To

The Grand Vizier to H.H the
Maharaja of Mysore

Sir,

I am directed to acknowledge the receipt of your letter No 70 of 7th Instant, and in reply to convey the Chief Commissioners sanction to the transfer to the Palace management of the four temples named in the margin, immediately connected with the Palace.

2 The Expenditure of the Hoozury grants allotted to these institutions should be regularly accounted for as therein proposed.

1 Sri Venkataramana Swami Temple in the Fort.
2. Chamundeswari Temple on the Chamundi Hill.
3 Do Bhairavaswamy Temple below the Hill
4 Lakshmi Narasimha Swamy Temple in the Fort
5 Varadarajaswami Temple in the Fort
6 Do Vinayaka Temple in the Fort

I have &c.

Offg Secretary

2255 Copy to the Supt. of Police Bangalore for information
2256 Copy to the Deputy Accr. Genl. for information &c

To Duplicate for Accts

Accts 1871 for
C Ford

BP

1870 Received 16

To the Lieutenant Governor of the
Province of Ontario
Dated 11th
Reply 12th
Memorandum

No 167

Respectfully transmitting a letter dated 7th inst
from the Registrar of the Surrogate Court
of the County of Carleton, enclosing
Commissioners Commission to the Deputy
Registrar of the County of Carleton therein
named to the Police Magistrate

Copy sent to the Off Reg Carleton

Copy sent to the Off Clerk of the Peace

Memo made in Sec's Office

No 6 Guardian's office Mysore 22nd April 1871

To

The Offg Secretary to the
Chief Commissioner
Bangalore

Sir

Docket No 564/1671 of the 9th Feby 1871
Docket No 6414/127 the 24th March 1671

With reference to your dockets noted in the margin, I have the honor to state that the Chuttrams under the Palace management have been lately repaired from the Moozrai funds under the sanction of the Chief Commissioner dated 24th October 1870. No 148. Of the temples the "Kottar" in the Kistnaswamy Pagoda alone requires re-roofing to the estimated amount of Rs 2200, the original work having been badly executed. An estimate for this work will be submitted for sanction and the cost will be defrayed from the surplus funds

of the Moozroi institutions under the Palace management. At the end of the official year just expired (1870-71) that surplus amounted to Rupees 2309-11-4; so that no aid from state funds will be required.

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant

D. Gordon

Offg Guardian to His Highness the Maha Rajah of Mysore

No 154

In C. C. Office
Residency Depth
Bangalore 17th May 1870

To
The Madras
Govt

I have the honor to forward herewith Abstract No 6. of 22 Accts, & the documents noted in the Margin connected with the Sanction conveyed in this Office No 167 of 11 Nov 1870, for the suspension & recovery of Temple & Charitable ~~loans made~~ advances connected with the Palace, for the year 1870-71, subject to the limitation imposed by the Govt Order dated 14th Nov 1870.

2 With the exception of the Accounts of ~~the~~ Temples & Suspension & connected with the Institutions in the Taluk of ~~Bangalore~~ Kolar

Rec'd
17/5

~~Assembly~~ the Corporation
to the General Accounts
Accounts and Law
Reports Regulations
such an Account to be
to furnish the ~~Assembly~~
~~by~~ to the Year 1870/71
and Assembly in
July

Proceedings of the Chief Commissioner of Mysore, Department of Agriculture, Revenue and Commerce, 20th September 1873.

From the Officiating Commissioner, Ashtagram Division, To the Officiating Secretary to the Chief Commissioner of Mysore, No. 299—R. 73, dated Yelwál, 23rd August 1873.

I have the honor to forward herewith copies of the *marginally noted correspondence between the Deputy Commissioner, Mysore, and the Guardian to His Highness the Maháráját of Mysore, in respect to the entertainment of a suit instituted in the Court of the former for the recovery of certain hereditary appointments in the temples of Varáha, Kristnaswámi, &c., lately transferred to the Palace, and request the favor of your obtaining the orders of the Chief Commissioner on the subject.

From Mysore, No 303, dated 1st August 1873, and of its enclosures.

As the appointments of Stánika, Archika, Shanbhog &c., in the several Muzaráyi institutions throughout the Province are generally recognized as hereditary, and claims to succession to, or the possession thereof are taken cognizance of by the Revenue Courts, it is very necessary that the jurisdiction of the Guardian in the disposal of such offices in the temples under his control and management to the exclusion of Revenue and Civil Courts should at once be determined.

From the Officiating Deputy Commissioner of the Mysore District, To the Officiating Commissioner, Ashtagram Division, No. 393, dated Mysore, 1st August 1873.

In forwarding copy of the correspondence * marginally noted, relative to the jurisdiction of the Civil Court over claims of succession to offices in temples, the charge of which has been made over to the Palace authorities, I have the honor to request to be favored with the orders of Government on the subject; for, as you are aware, the management of a number of temples maintained by the State has been transferred lately to the direct control of the Palace.

Letter No. 366 of the 3rd June to the Guardian to His Highness the Mahárája
" 17 of 11th do from do.
" 546 of 24th do to do.
" 39 of 4th July from do

2. I recollect that Mr. Kindersley in an appeal on the file of his Court ruled that the Civil Courts had authority in such Muzaráyi cases, and there is no enactment in force which precludes them having cognizance.

3. With respect to the last para of Colonel Malleson's letter, a reference to the Notification in question will shew that no allusion whatever is made to Palace officials and dependants beyond those whose names are specially mentioned in the Gazette.

From the Officiating Deputy Commissioner of the Mysore District, To the Guardian to His Highness the Maháráját of Mysore, No. 366, dated Mysore, 3rd June 1873.

A suit for the offices noted in the * margin of the temples of Varáhaswámi, Laksmíramanaswámi and Kristna, of which you have charge, having been filed in this Court, I have the honor to request you will be good enough to let me know on or before the 11th instant whether these offices are hereditary.

* Stánika and Chikka Páipattégan of Prasanna Kristna temple.
Parayanam of the Varáha.
Do do of Lakshmíramana
Do do of Kusalthwik in the Palace.

From the Guardian to His Highness the Maháráját of Mysore, To the Officiating Deputy Commissioner, Mysore District, No. 17, dated 11th June 1873.

With reference to your letter No. 366, dated the 3rd instant, I have the honor to state that I am not aware of the particular regulation under which the suit for the offices referred to in your letter has been brought. But being public offices in temples which are under Government management, I think it undesirable that any suit should be allowed to be brought in respect of them, except at the instance or with the sanction of the Government Officer in charge of them or of the Chief Commissioner. Such a course is especially necessary in the case of the institutions under notice, which being family temples of the Maháráját it might occasion serious inconvenience if the servants in them were allowed to set aside the jurisdiction of the Officers in charge by instituting suits before the Revenue Officers of the District. I am given to understand that during the time the late Maháráját was in charge of the Kristnaswami

temple, no such proceedings were ever allowed It must also be apparent that the fact of Revenue Officers, who are generally in charge of the temples in the Province, being allowed to entertain suits for temple offices irrespective of the ordinary civil tribunals, is based upon the principle of not creating a double jurisdiction in respect of these public offices Before, therefore, replying to the question asked in your letter, I shall feel obliged by your favoring me with your views on the subject, as, if found necessary, I may have to refer the question to the Chief Commissioner for orders

2 I may add that the claims to the offices referred to in your letter in which there has been a vacancy, are now under investigation in my office

From the Officiating Deputy Commissioner of the Mysore District, To the Guardian to His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore, No 546, dated Mysore, 25th June 1873

In reply to your letter No 17 of the 11th June 1873, I have the honor to inform you that it is of importance to settle whether this Court has primarily any jurisdiction over the offices named, and with this object copies of the plaint preferred and of the written answer are herewith forwarded for your information

2. It is argued by the plaintiff's counsel that the temples in question are not private property belonging to the Palace, but public property over which the Government Courts have jurisdiction, and that though the Palace authorities may have, as the Revenue authorities, the privilege of making nominations, the question of the title of the parties to offices, which are hereditary, are liable to be adjudicated in the constituted Courts of the Province

3 On receiving a definite reply to the two points under reference, *viz*, whether the temples are public property and whether the offices are hereditary, I shall be in a position to dispose of the issue whether this Court has jurisdiction or not

4 As you have requested an expression of my views on the point, I would recommend the advisability of an enactment being passed to define the privileges vested in the Palace authorities not only in this particular respect, but with regard to the execution of processes against Palace officials and dependants A list was lately published of members of the Royal family who were to be held exempt, but no allusion is made therein to officials or to offices, and it is difficult to restrain the action of the Courts solely on the practice which has previously governed the disposal of similar cases

5 The favor of an early answer is requested, as the case must remain pending on my file.

From the Guardian to His Highness the Maharajá of Mysore, To the Officiating Deputy Commissioner of the Mysore District, No 39, dated Mysore, 4th July 1873

With reference to your letter No 546, dated 23d ultimo, I have the honor to state that, though the Palace possesses peculiar interests in the temples referred to, they must, inasmuch as they are maintained by State grants, be regarded as State property As such however I consider that matters connected with them cannot form subjects of ordinary Civil suits except with the permission of the Government It appears to me that the powers exercised by the Revenue authorities in questions relating to temples to the exclusion of the general jurisdiction of the Courts being of an exceptional character are necessarily restrained by the considerations which dictated such an exceptional procedure Such power, I believe, is by its very nature confined to the institutions which are under the administrative control of the authorities concerned, and cannot extend to temples which are under the Palace management, and which as such must be considered as having been taken away from the jurisdiction of the local Revenue authorities.

2. In reply to the second point of your enquiry, I may state that the offices referred to are ordinarily hereditary, but subject to the power of the State to make any alterations in respect of them

3 With reference to para 4 of your letter, I have to inform you that the matters therein referred to have been lately considered by the Chief Commissioner, who has clearly laid down the course of procedure to be pursued in dealing with the Palace relations, dependants and officials. I am not aware that there is any room for ambiguity or uncertainty in the matter

No. 3352—REV. 51, DATED BANGALORE, 20TH SEPTEMBER 1873.

ORDER THEREON.—In the foregoing correspondence the orders of the Chief Commissioner are solicited on a question at issue between the Guardian to His Highness the Maharaja and the Deputy Commissioner of Mysore, as to the competency of the latter officer in the exercise of his Civil or Revenue jurisdiction to adjudicate upon claims connected with the hereditary offices attached to certain temples now placed under Palace management.

2. The muzaráyi and land inám accounts in this office shew that the ináms annexed to the offices in question are in the shape of cash payments. Such are debarred from being made the subject of Civil suits under the Chief Commissioner's orders * marginally noted.

* No. 3439—113 of the 29th September 1870, to Inám Commissioner.

3 Whether such claims may with most advantage be disposed of by the Revenue authorities or by the Guardian is the question upon which the Chief Commissioner is now called upon to pronounce. In Colonel Meade's opinion the latter course offers most advantages. The temples are under the Guardian's management, and it is believed that he exercises the right of nomination to vacant offices in them. Collision of authority would necessarily result if the Revenue authorities were allowed to decide upon claims to such offices.

4. The Chief Commissioner therefore directs that the entire management of the temples including the duty of deciding on claims to hereditary offices in them may remain in the hands of the Guardian, who will have authority to issue miscellaneous orders in connection with such offices, the right of appeal lying directly to the Chief Commissioner.

5. Under the foregoing view of the case, the Commissioner of Ashtagram is requested to refer the parties to the suit which is the immediate occasion of the present reference, to the Guardian to His Highness the Mahárájá.

H. W. WELLESLEY,
Offg. Secretary.

To—The Officiating Commissioner of the Ashtagram Division.
Guardian to H. H. the Mahárájá of Mysore.

1873.

GOVERNMENT OF MYSORE.

REVENUE

THE OFFG. COMMR. OF THE ASHTAGRAM DIVISION, GUARDIAN TO H. H. THE MAHARAJA OF MYSORE.

Dated September 20th.

Despatched

Received

No. 3352.
51, R.

Passing orders on a question at issue between the Guardian to His Highness the Mahárája and the Deputy Commissioner of Mysore, as to the competency of the latter officer to adjudicate upon claims connected with the hereditary offices attached to certain temples now placed under Palace management.

Proceedings of the Government of Mysore.

(1/2)

Camp No. 194-96, dated Mysore, the 21st July/91

Order.— His Highness the Maharaja is pleased to direct that the expenditure on account of the following items now debited to "Palace charges" shall hereafter be transferred to the State Revenues with effect from the 1st June 1891:—

(a) Palace Barr, Saluting Battery, Body guard and Band including the establishment thereof costing per annum Rupees 67,840.

(b) Certain religious and charitable endowments, viz., allowances to the Sringeri Mutt, the Roman Catholic Church at Mysore, the Maharajas' Chattram at Mysore and minor institutions now under the management of

P.T.O.

the Palace Controller and costing Rupees

20,460 per annum.

The above items shall be adjusted annually or quarterly as may be found most convenient, the cost of item (a) being charged to the State Military Budget and of item (b) to the State Mowzuraji Budget.

2. The Palace Controller will submit at an early date a Schedule of fixed establishments and charges comprised in the items above mentioned for the issue of the necessary further instructions to the Controller, Comptroller.

His Highness the Maharaja is further pleased to direct that the amount of the Civil List shall

shall be increased from 13 (thirteen) to 14 (fourteen) lakhs of Rupees per annum with effect also from 1st June 1891.

To,—

The Military Secretary to His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore.

" The Comptroller, to the Government of Mysore

" The Palace Comptroller, Mysore, for information and guidance.

C.P.

No. 10953-5/1469 Dated 8/9th January 1891.

Order.

Ramanna, Police Inspector Seerangapatam is appointed as a temporary measure, Muzrai manager under the Palace Officer, for charge of Muzrai Institutions under the management of the Palace Department.

Ramanna's salary as Muzrai manager will be Rs 85-* per mensem, to be paid out of the Surplus Funds of the Institutions concerned and his status will be that of an Amildar.

*. The emoluments of a Deputy Amildar.

(Initialled) K.S.

(signed) A Sreenivasaiyah
for Chief Secretary

Copy to Inspector General of Police for issue of necessary orders - Deputy Commissioner, Mysore Dt. for information - Inspector Ramanna should be directed to report himself to the Officer in Charge of Palace Establishments, Mysore Palace Officer for information and guidance —
Copy to Govt. Comptroller for information
No. 10956/743 of 8/9th January 1891 —

Inwards.

No. M 616-8
Dated 31st March 1892

Memorandum

Ramanna who was appointed in Memo No. $\frac{10953-5}{1469}$ of the 8th January 1891, to be Muzrai Manager under the Palace Officer Mysore, having died, the following arrangements are sanctioned.

C. Thimmiah (Pensioned Amildar) who was temporarily appointed as Maistri in this Office Memo No. 526 dated 7th March 1892, to be Muzrai Inspector, Mysore District on pay Rs 35- with a fixed batta of Rs 15- per mensem and will be under the immediate orders of the Palace Controller Mysore. The whole of this Official's pay and batta will be borne by the Surplus Funds of the Institutions

under the management of the Palace Controller.

2 Thimmiah will in addition to the supervision of the Muzrai Institutions under the management of the Palace Controller be occasionally required by the Muzrai Superintendent to inspect the other Muzrai Institutions in the Mysore District. It is for this purpose the fixed travelling allowance of Rs 15- per mensem is granted to him.

A. J.
Secretary

To
The Comptroller to the Govt. of Mysore
" Deputy Commr. Mysore District
" Palace Controller Mysore

(General Serial No. 30).

OFFICE OF THE DEWAN OF MYSORE
1868
14 MAY 92
47

1892

From The Comptroller Mysore

To The Chief Secretary to the Dewan of Mysore

Dated 12"

Despatched 13" May 92

Received

Enclosures No.

General No. 844

No

Requesting that a Schedule of Fixed Establishments and Charges Comprised in the items referred to in para 2 of Govt Proceedings No 194-96 dated 24th July 91 may be caused to to be furnished to his Office

No 1.

If this has not already been rec'd. call for it from the Palace Controller MM 14/5



844

OFFICE OF THE COMPTROLLER OF MYSORE,

Bangalore, 12th April 1892,

From ~~A. RANGASWAMI IYENGAR, Esq.,~~

The Comptroller of Mysore.

To

The Chief Secretary to
the Dewan of Mysore

SIR,

With reference to Government Proceedings No. 194–96 dated 21st July 1891 directing that the expenditure on account of the marginally noted items debited to "Palace" shall be transferred to the State Revenues with effect from the 1st June 1891 I have the honor to request that a schedule of fixed Establishments and charges comprised in the above items referred to in para 2 of the Proceedings may be caused to be furnished to this office to effect an adjustment of the charges incurred during the year 1891–92 in the accounts of that year as directed.

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient Servant

for Comptroller.

(a) "Palace" Band, Saluting Battery, Body Guard and said Band vide the establishment of Theory, Kanthi, Elephants &c. Rupees 67,944 0/-

(b) Certain religious and charitable endowments vide Allowances to the Swamijee Pakkit, the Roman Catholic Church at Mysore, the Maharajah's Institution at Mysore and various institutions under the management of the Palace Comptroller.

(General Serial No 30)

1892 (2)

From

To The Palace Controller

Dated 23 May

Despatched 26

Received

Enclosures No.

General No. 3291

No. 295

Paragraph 2 of Ft Pro.

No 194/96 D 24 July 1891

—o—

Has the honor to request that the schedule of fixed establishments and charges called for in the above may be submitted at an early date,

PSV

Mrk. 23/5/92

Mrk

(General Serial No. 30)

(3)

1892. 472. 14 JUL 92 R92 DEWAN OFFICE

From The Comptroller Mysore

To The Chief Secretary to the Dewan of Mysore

Dated 13th "

Despatched 14th " July 92

Received

Enclosures No.

General No. 319

No.

Inviting attention to his letter No. 844 dated 12th May requesting that a Schedule of Repair establishments and Charges comprised in the items transferred from Palace to State Revenues may be furnished

Rev.

Copy to Palace Controller with a request that the information required may be furnished at once

Mark 14/7

Draft Sd/-

B.M.

15/7

(3)

COMPTROLLER'S OFFICE
13 JUL 92
MYSORE

No. 319

OFFICE OF THE COMPTROLLER OF MYSORE,

Bangalore. 13 July 1892.

From

~~A. RANGASWAMI IYENGAR, Esq~~,

The Comptroller of Mysore.

To

The Chief Secretary to the
Dewan of Mysore.

SIR,

I have the honor to invite your attention (1)
to this office letter No. 844 dated 12th May
1892 requesting to be furnished with a
schedule of fixed establishments and charges
comprised in the items transferred from
Palace to State Revenues and to request
that the statement asked for may be fur-
nished at an early date to enable this
office to have the amounts adjusted in
the books for 1891-92
1892-93 which have to be
closed by the 15th August.

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your most obedt. servant,

For Comptroller.

Read. —

(3)

Letter No. 319 dated 13th July 1892 from the Comptroller to the Govt of Mysore requesting to be furnished with a Schedule of the fixed Establishments and Charges comprised in the items transferred from Palace to State Revenue with effect from 1st June 1891. in accordance with Pro: No 194/96 & 21st July 1891

Ord. thereon.

Ordered that a copy of the Communication read above be forwarded to the Palace Comptroller in continuation of this office docket No. 3291/295 dated 23rd May 1892,

Encl

No 666/R105-92 dt 15/16 July 1892

~~send~~ with a request that the information therein called for may be ~~[illegible]~~ submitted without further delay.

March 15/17
Under Secty.

H. B.

To The Palace Comptroller.

1892.

OFFICE OF THE
1192
R559-AUG 92
G 462
RESIDENT

From

The Palace Controller

Mysore

To

The Chief Secretary

to the Dewan of

Mysore -

Bangalore

Dated 31st July

Desp'd do

No. 208.

Encls. three.

Forwards two Budget Estimates, one for Palace Military Department and the other for the Religious and Charitable grants for the year 1892-93, and also submits a list of the strength of the Palace Infantry and Body Guard.

Send to Compti Mark 2/8/92

(General Serial No. 30.)

1892.

From The Palace Controller
Mysore

To The Chief Secretary to the
Dewan of Mysore
Bangalore

Dated 31st } July
Despatched Do. }
Received

Enclosures No Three

General No. 28.

Sir

With reference to Government Proceedings No 194/9 dated 21st July 1891, I have the honor to forward herewith two Budget Estimates one for the Palace Military Department and the other for the Religious and Charitable grants for the year 1892–93.

A list showing in detail the Strength of the Palace Infantry, Body-Guard &c is also herewith Sent.

I have the honor to be

Sir

Your most obedient Servant

E Washington

Palace Controller

Palace Military Department.

Budget Estimate for 1892-93.

Establishment fixed.	69,000	0	0
Dress – Khaky dress	800	"	"
※ Full dress once in 5 years for 600 men and officers, due this year	5,000	"	"
※ Boots, Saddles and &c once in 2 years	1,700	"	"
Feeding of horses (Thirty in number)	6000	"	"
Contingencies	500	"	"
Total	83,000	"	"

※ The full dress and boots have not been issued from a long time. Provision is hence made this year for the whole force.

(Signed) E. R. Subbarayar

Palace Comptroller

Compd. 4 Jan

F. Religious & Charitable grants.

To. — Soobraya Das Temple at Hosa-Agrahar, Mysore	696	0	0
" — Kasi Seshavama Shastri for sundry temples at Benares	240	"	"
" — Endowments to the Temple constructed by E. Chelambarum Iyer, late Dy: Commr of Palace Establishments.	60	"	"
" — Subbramanya Lala, Son of Devanath Lala for feeding the Bhyragies at Sadabarth Chattram, Doddapett, Mysore	864	0	"
" — A temple constructed by Maramat Katchari Byraiya at the foot of the Chamundi Hill	60	"	"
" — Roman Catholic Church at Mysore	360	"	"
" — Maintenance allowance to the Maharaja's Chattram for the month of 189	12,000	"	
" — Sringeri Matt.	6,000	"	"
" — Jangumvadi Matt, Benares	240	"	"
Total	20,520	"	"

(Signed). E.R. Subbarayan.
Palace Comptroller

Compd 29/3/90

The statement showing services etc of the Strength of the the 1st April 1892.

Serial No.	Warrant No.	Rank	Names	Caste	Age
			N. Ames		

Cullay Cutcherry Department, as it stood on

Date of Appointment	Service Year	Service Month	Monthly pay Rs	Monthly pay As	Monthly pay P	Date and Year of the last promotion	Name of the Department where he works	Remarks

1		Bucksi	Veerarajaurs	Rajaputhund
2		Middlecamp	Raja Urs	Do
3	1	Serisladar	Narasimhamurtappah	Brahmin
4	2	Gumasta	Krishna Rao	Do
5	3	Do	Hariyappah	Do
6	4	Do	Sreenivasa Rao	Do
7	4	Do	Sreenivasa Rao	Do
8	5	orderly	Hanumantha a substitute for Basava	
9	6	Do	Mylari	Vakilsar
10	7	Do	Siddoji	Marahtta
11	8	Do	Sidda	Vakilsar
12	9	Mashalchi	Chinnma	Do
13	10	Dobi	Javara "	Washerman
14	11	Bar Commandant	Muhamed Mustah	Musalman
15	12	Major	Ram Singh	Rajaput
16	13	Do	Mustan Raza	Musalman
17	14	Subedar	Syed Ahmed	Do
18	15	Do	Bahadur Singh	Rajaput
19	16	Do	Lakomana Singh	Do
20	17	Do	Ibralim Sheriff	Musalman
21	18	Do	Bhavani Singh	Rajput
22	19	Do	Hanumantha naidu	Balajay
23	20	Do	Rama Rao	Brahmin
24	21	Do	Ananta Ram	Rajaput
25	22	Jamadar	Muhamed Hayath	Musalman
26	23	Do	Venkatramana	Balajay
27	24	Do	Bethah	Vakilsar
28	25	Do	Abdul Hakkim	Musalman
29	26	Do	Sheik Mohadin	Do
30	27	Do	Monjudin Khan	Do
31	28	Do	Sham Singh	Rajaput
32	29	Do	Ramakao Chinnay	Brahmin
33	30	2nd Jamedar	Sheik Hussain	Musalman
34	31	Do	Krishna Singh	Rajaput
35	32	Do	Roshan Khan	Musalman
36	33	Do	Ballam Raj	Rachewar

			300	"	"	
	10	7	40	"	"	
1863	29	0	36	"	"	✓
54	38	0	15	"	"	
1866	25	9	15	"	"	Khajana
or 1889	2	4	12	"	"	
1883	8	4	5	"	"	1891
			4	8	"	
1877	14	7	4	8	"	
1879	12	8	4	8	"	
1879	12	8	4	8	"	
1880	11	9	3	8	"	✓
1872	19	9	4	8	"	✓
may 1890	1	9	55	"	"	
1840	51	5	25	"	"	may 1890
1854	37	8	25	"	"	March 1892
1855	36	4	21	"	"	Septr 1892
eb: 1850	42	1	21	"	"	Septr 1883
eb: 1849	43	1	25	"	"	June 1890
Dec: 1853	38	3	21	"	"	
Feb: 1851	41	1	18	"	"	Octr 1890
may 1840	51	10	18	"	"	Septr 1883
Septr 1862	29	6	18	"	"	Nov: 1887
Aug: 1850	41		18	"	"	March 1889
may 1846	45	10	15	"	"	Octr: 1890
Septr	4	6	15	"		March 1889
Nov: 1851	40	4	15	"	"	Septr 1883
Aug: 186	28	7	15	"	"	Septr 1883
march 1856	36	0	15	"	"	Octr 1880
June 1877	14	9	15	"	"	Nov: 1887
Feb: 1862	30	1	15	"	"	Octr: 1880
Nov: 1888	3	4	15	"	"	
Feb: 1850	42	1	12	"	"	March 1889
Septr: 185	36	6	12	"	"	Septr 1883
may 1851	40	10	12	"	"	Octr 1890
Octr 1848	43	5	12	"	"	Nov 1887
Carri			882	"	"	

37	34	2nd Jamedar	Subba naik	Balajay	70
38	35	do	Chenna	Kurubar	64
39	36	do	Gulam Muhamed Hussain	Musalman	67
40	37	do	Dawud Beg	do	53
41	38	Havaldar	Devi Das	Rajaput	54
42	39	do	Prauna Singh	do	53
43	40	do	Muhamed Peer	Musulman	55
44	41	do	Mustan Khan	do	53
45	42	do	Syed Hussain	do	65
46	43	do	Syed Khasim	do	47
47	44	do	Muhamed Ibrahim	do	57
48	45	do	Balaram	Rajaput	46
49	46	do	Ram Raju	Rachewar	56
50	47	do	Sheik Baday	Musalman	58
51	48	do	Muhamed Imam	do	54
52	49	do	Hayath Khan	do	52
53	50	do	Ratna Singh	Rajaput	54
54	51	do	Peer Khan	Musalman	66
55	52	do	Muhamed Khan	do	54
56	53	do	Muhamed Nakeesh	do	62
57	54	do	Mohanlal	Rajaput	39
58	55	do	Abdul Khader	Musalman	52
59	56	do	Muhamed Hayath	do	65
60	57	do	Khader Hussain	do	52
61	58	do	Syed Budan	do	4
62	59	do	Muhamed Ali	do	68
63	60	do	Budan Sheriff	do	6
64	61	do	Hyder Beg	do	5
65	62	do	Muhamed Yusuff	do	5
66	63	do	Durga Prasad	Rajaput	
67	64	do	Syed Khasim	Musulman	61
68	65	do	Ahmed Khan	do	52
69	66	do	Luckomana Singh	Rajaput	48
70	67	do	Jamaludin	Musulman	5
71	68	do	Krishnama Raj	Rachewar	4
72	69	do	Muhamed Meera	Musalman	52
73	70	Naik	Makaranda Singh	Rajaput	28
74	71	do	Khader Beg	Musulman	5

Brought forward			882	"	"	
1847	45	2	12	"	"	Octr 1880
ch 1847	45	0	12	"	"	Octr 1880
1851	40	3	12	"	"	Octr 1880
March 1862	30	0	12	"	"	Septr 1883
Feb: 1863	29	1	9	"	"	Octr 1890
Septr 1862	29	6	9	"	"	March 1889
: 1863	28	7	9	"	"	Octr 1890
Jan: 1864	28	2	9	"	"	Jan: 1892
May 1849	42	10	9	"	"	Nov: 1887
Feb: 1862	30	1	9	"	"	Nov: 1887
March 1862	30	0	9	"	"	Dec: 1887
Septr 1858	33	6	9	"	"	Jan: 1892
May 1854	37	10	9	"	"	Octr: 1880
eb: 1855	37	1	9	"	"	Octr: 1880
July 1859	32	8	9	"	"	Octr: 1880
Septr: 1858	33	6	9	"	"	Nov: 1887
April 1860	31	10	9	"	"	Octr: 1880
Octr: 1863	28	5	9	"	"	Octr: 1880
Octr: 1860	31	5	9	"	"	Nov: 1887
March 1862	30	0	9	"	"	Octr: 1890
Aug: 1870	21	7	12	"	"	June 1890
Septr: 1862	29	6	9	"	"	March 1889
March 1846	46	0	9	"	"	Octr: 1890
Dec: 1866	25	3	9	"	"	Octr: 1890
Aug: 1846	45	7	9	"	"	June 1877
Dec: 1851	40	3	9	"	"	
Jan: 1852	40	2	9	"	"	Octr 1890
Dec: 1859	32	3	9	"	"	June 1877
July 1859	32	8	9	"	"	June 1874
May 1890	1	10	9	"	"	
Septr: 1856	35	6	9	"	"	
Feb: 1862	30	1	9	"	"	
Feb: 1862	30	1	9	"	"	
Feb. 1862	30	1	9	"	"	Nov 1887
Septr: 1862	29	6	9	"	"	
May 1856	35	10	9	"	"	Octr: 1890
April 1882	9	11	10	"	"	June 1890
Feb: 1853	39	1	8	"	"	Octr 1890
Carried			1239	"	"	

75	72	Naik	Muhamed Ghose	Musalman	60
76	73	do	Hyderkhan	do	56
77	74	do	Muhamed Abdulla	do	57
78	75	do	Venketsamy	Balajay	54
79	76	do	Peerkhan	Musulman	60
80	77	do	Chicka Naik	Gangadipar	55
81	78	do	Ramjee	Rajaput	54
82	79	do	Muhamed Masud	Musulman	59
83	80	do	Muhamed Khasim	do	52
84	81	do	Gulam Mohadin	do	50
85	82	do	Sheik Enis	do	55
86	83	do	Muhamed Raza	do	54
87	84	do	Rama Naidu	Balajay	47
88	85	do	Lalkhan	Musulman	64
89	86	do	Gous Khan	do	59
90	87	do	Dustajir	do	43
91	88	do	Rajaram	Rajaput	61
92	89	do	Thimmah	Vakilpar	53
93	90	do	Syed mohadin	Musulman	61
94	91	do	Muhamed Jaffar	do	57
95	92	do	Muhamed Yakub	do	74
96	93	do	Ramjee	Kahar	35
97	94	do	Syed Musolam	Musulman	61
98	95	do	Sheik Adam	do	57
99	96	do	Krishna Singh	Rajaput	50
100	97	do	Narayana Raj	Rachewar	50
101	98	do	Rajay Muhamed	Musulman	5
102	99	do	Anne Ram	Rajaput	54
103	100	do	Syed Jymulabudin	Musalman	58
104	101	do	Venketnaidu	Telugu Banajila	5.
105	102	Sepoy	Ummaji	Maratta	51
106	103	do	Muhamed Peer	Musalman	67
107	104	do	Sheik Hussain	do	67
108	105	do	Lucksmana Rao	Maratta	
109	106	do	Meer Hyderali	Musulman	51
110	107	do	Muhamed Gouse	do	58
111	108	do	Siddojee	Maratta	52
112	109	do	Muhamed Usman	Musulman	51

Oct			1239			
859	32	4	8	"	"	Jan: 1892
860	32	2	8	"	"	Jan: 1892
May 1859	32	10	8	"	"	Jan: 1891
am: 1844	48	2	8	"	"	Nov: 1887
: 1854	37	10	8	"	"	Oct: 1890
1856	35	9	8	"	"	Jan: 1881
Nov: 1854	37	4	8	"	"	Oct: 1890
: 1855	37	2	8	"	"	Oct: 1890
plr: 1860	31	6	8	"	"	Jan: 1881
March 186	30	0	8	"	"	Jan: 1881
eb: 1849	43	10	8	"	"	March 1889
1881	10	8	8	"	"	
ay 1863	28	10	8	"	"	Jan: 1881
eb: 1850	42	1	8	"	"	March 1889
Oct: 1849	42	5	8	"	"	March 188
· 1881	11	1	8	"	"	April 1884
Feb: 185	3	1	8	"	"	Oct: 1890
: 1863	28	4	8	"	"	Jan: 1881
Jan: 1856	"	2	8	"	"	Oct: 1890
July 1857	34	8	8	"	"	Oct: 1890
July 184	47	8	8	"	"	Nov: 1887
Nov: 1877	14	4	8	"	"	Nov: 1886
Jan: 1846	46	2	8	"	"	Nov: 1887
eb: 1846	46	1	8	"	"	Nov: 1887
ay 1848	43	10	8	"	"	Dec: 1887
May 1848	43	10	8	"	"	Dec: 1887
Nov 1877	14	4	8	"	"	
May 1857	34	10	8	"	"	Sept: 1877
Jan: 1858	33	2	8	"	"	Oct: 1890
June 1859	32	9	8	"	"	Jan: 1892
July 1863	28	8	7	"	"	
July 1865	26	8	7	"	"	
July 1867	23	8	7	"	"	
Sept: 1889	2	6	7	"	"	
Aug: 1854	37	7	7	"	"	July 1881
June 1855	36	9	7	"	"	July 1881
May 1856	35	10	7	"	"	April 188
July 1857	34	8	7	"	"	Oct: 1890

Carried

113	110	Sepoy	Sanjeeva Naik	Dhoraya	50
114	111	Do	Muhamed Hussain	Musulman	57
115	112	Do	Thimmah		
116	113	Do	Gulam Dustgir	Musulman	
117	114	Do	Venketpathi Raj	Rachewar	40
118	115	Do	Bahudin	Musulman	54
119	116	Do	Russool Khan	Do	49
120	117	Do	Sheik Muhamed	Do	48
121	118	Do	Khasim Beg	Do	61
122	119	Do	Putta	Gollar	41
123	120	Do	Gulam Muhamed	Musulman	55
124	121	Do	Lalamiah	Do	64
125	122	Do	Gulami Ahmed in substitute of Fakrudin	Do	66
126	123	Do	Runga		27
127	124	Do	Abdul Rahmankhan	Musulman	61
128	125	Do	Syed Ahmed	Do	40
129	126	Do	Syed Ummar	Do	47
130	127	Do	Muhamed Khasim	Do	54
131	128	Do	Abdul Russool	Do	
132	129	Do	Muhamed Gouse	Do	60
133	130	Do	Gafarkhan	Do	54
134	131	Do	Khader mohadin Raza	Do	23
135	132	Do	Gulam Husain	Do	
136	133	Do	Sheik Khasim	Do	61
137	134	Do	Ali Beg	Do	56
138	135	Do	Narasinga Khan	Rajaput	57
139	136	Do	Muhamed Peer	Musulman	27
140	137	Do	Venket Raj		21
141	138	Do	Krishnama Raj		27
142	139	Do	Muhamed Gouse	Musulman	47
143	140	Do	Khasim Sheriff	Do	57
144	141	Do	Budan Khan	Do	70
145	142	Do	Muhamed Husain	Do	53
146	143	Do	Govinda naidu	Balajay	25
147	144	Do	Singa	Gangadhar	64
148	145	Do	Sinfojee	Marahatta	57
149	146	Do	Bhavani Singh	Rajaput	45
150	147	Do	Ranojee	Marahtta	56
151	148	Do	Sheik Husain	Musulman	53
152	149	Do	Syed Husain	Do	56

Brought	yard		1535			
uly 18.65	26	8	7	"	"	Feb: 1878
Feb- 1863	29	1	7	"	"	June 1890
July 1889	2	8	7	"	"	
March 1	3	0	7	"	"	
Aug 1.186	22	7	7	"	"	
April 18	32	11	7	"	"	Oct: 1890
April 18	32	11	7	"	"	Oct: 1890
Sept: 1862	29	6	7	"	"	Dec: 1876
March 18	38	0	7	"	"	July 1878
April 18	5	11	7	"	"	
April 18	32	11	7	"	"	Oct: 1890
April 18	32	11	7	"	"	Oct: 1890
Sept: 185	39	6	7	"	"	
Dec: 188	4	3	6	"	"	×
Dec: 185	36	3	7	"	"	July 1881
Oct: 1876	15	5	8	"	"	July 1889
May 185	32	10	7	"	"	Oct: 1890
Sept: 1860	31	6	7	"	"	Oct: 1890
April 1884	7	11	.6	"	"	×
Sept: 1860	31	6	7	"	"	Oct: 1890
Dec: 1860	31	5	7	"	"	Oct: 1890
Feb: 1876	16	1	.6	"	"	×
Oct: 1889	2	5	6	"	"	×
Dec: 1855	36	3	7	"	"	July 1881
April 1857	34	11	7	"	"	July 1881
Sept: 1858	33	6	7	"	"	July 1881
May 1876	15	10	.6	"	"	
June 1879	12	9	6	"	"	
July 1890	1	8	6	"	"	
Feb: 1862	30	1	7	"	"	Oct: 1890
March 1862	30	0	7	"	"	Oct: 1890
April 1841	50	11	7	"	"	Aug: 1877
July 1857	34	8	7	"	"	April 1882
June 1888	3	9	7	"	"	
Nov: 1851	40	4	7	"	"	Aug: 1877
March 1862	30	0	7	"	"	Oct: 1890
April 1859	32	11	7	"	"	July 1881
May 1860	31	10	7	"	"	
March 1862	30	0	7	"	"	Oct: 1890
July 1859	32	8	7	"	"	
Carried over			1809	"	"	

153	150	Sepoy	Khaderkhan.	Musulman	53
154	151	do	Subbá Rao	Marahtta	58
155	152	do	Dasi	Telugu Bana.	39
156	153	do	Rama Iaidu	Balajiga Balajiga	32
157	154	do	Muhamed Razak	Musulma	49
158	155	do	Dharma Raj	Rajaput	52
159	156	do	Muhamed Hussain	Musulman	54
160	157	do	Sheik Ayder	do	45
161	158	do	Rajay Khan	do	57
162	159	do	Fakrulla Khan	do	55
163	160	do	Chikka		21
164	161	do	Madhoje	Marahtta	80
165	162	do	Venkat naidu	Balajiga	56
166	163	do	Abdul Khader	Musul	58
167	164	do	Venkatramana	Telugu Bana	50
168	165	do	Venkoje	Marahtta Balajiga	64
169	166	do	Muhamed Peer	Musul	50
170	167	do	Munwar Beg	do	58
171	168	do	Mari	Vakilfar	57
172	169	do	Abdul Khader	Musulman	48
173	170	do	Sheik Hussan	do	52
174	171	do	Muhamed Yasin	do	54
175	172	do	Sheik Usman	do	52
176	173	do	Sheik Hussain	do	55
177	174	do	Ayder Khan	do	56
178	175	do	Davi Singh	Rajaput	61
179	176	do	Abdul Rahiman	Musulman	62
180	177	do	Sheik Muhamed	do	50
181	178	do	Jamal Sheriff	do	52
182	179	do	Budan Khan	do	55
183	180	do	Gulam Hussain Khan	do	46
184	181	do	Imamuddin	do	28
185	182	do	Hanamoji	Marahtta	52
186	183	do	Khader Khan	Musulm	46
187	184	do	Mudda	Vakilfar	50
188	185	do	Ramoje	marahtta	58
189	186	do	Muhamed Siddi Khan		51
190	187	do	Sheik Ibrahim	do	4
191	188	do	Shubha Keran		46

			1809	"	"	
	17	2	7	"	"	
1863	28	8	7	"	"	April 1882
18	14	0	7	"	"	
June 187	20	9	7	"	"	Jan: 1892
March 186	30	0	7	"	"	Octr: 1890
Decr: 186	25	3	7	"	"	Jan: 1892
Jan: 1860	32	2	7	"	"	
Novr: 1866	25	4	7	"	"	Jan: 1892
Febr: 186	29	6	7	"	"	Octr: 1890
Octr: 1860	31	5	7	"	"	
March 18	6	3	7	"	"	
Octr: 1860	31	5	7	"	"	
Septr: 1	29	6	7	"	"	July 1881
June 186	28	9	7	"	"	Octr: 1890
: 186	31	6	7	"	"	
eb: 1864	28	1	7	"	"	Augt: 1877
May 186	2	10	7	"	"	
Novr. 186	25	4	7	"	"	Jan: 1892
June 1863	28	9	7	"	"	Octr: 1890
186	30	0	7	"	"	
March 186	30	0	7	"	"	
March 1	30	0	7	"	"	
March 186	30	0	7	"	"	
June 186	28	9	7	"	"	Octr: 1890
Aug: 1862	29	7	7	"	"	
Jan: 1873	19	2	7	"	"	Novr: 1876
Decr: 1863	28	3	7	"	"	
~: 1860	31	6	7	"	"	Novr: 1876
Jan: 186	28	2	7	"	"	
Jan: 186	28	2	7	"	"	
Novr: 186	28	4	7	"	"	
July 18	5	9	7	"		
Septr: 186	29	6	7	"	"	July 1881
March 18	28	0	7	"	"	
Septr:			7	"	"	July 1881
Jan: 186	28	2	7	"	"	
Jan: 186	28		7	"	"	
Jan: 186	28	2	7	"	"	
1863	28	9	7	"	"	Octr: 1890
arri			208	"	"	

192	189	Sepoy	Narasimha	Telinga Baiza	50
193	190	Do	Hanumanthakao	Marathta	51
194	191	Do	Mohamed Imam	Musulman	45
195	192	Do	Syed Gouse	Do	52
196	193	Do	Mohamed Jaffar	Do	52
197	194	Do	Khader Khan	Do	51
198	195	Do	Saliram	Rajaput	24
199	196	Do	Sheik Ali	Musulman	60
200	197	Do	Abdul Gaffur	Do	48
201	198	Do	Ali Beg	Do	62
202	199	Do	Mohamed Hussain	Do	44
203	200	Do	Venketnaidu	Balajay	55
204	201	Do	Abdulla Khan	Musulman	51
205	202	Do	Kala	Gangadikar	52
206	203	Do	Mohamed Yakoob	Musulman	50
207	204	Do	Sheik Mohadin	Do	51
208	205	Do	Venketa	Gollar	53
209	206	Do	Sheik Imam	Musulman	51
210	207	Do	Gulam Mohadin	Do	72
211	208	Do	Venketnaidu	Balajay	53
212	209	Do	Seekaram	Kahar	44
213	210	Do	Paraun Ram Singh	Rajaput	52
214	211	Do	Bhyrajee	Marahtta	50
215	212	Do	Shantha	Kurubar	54
216	213	Do	Syed Abdul Khader	Musulman	48
217	214	Do	Nuksmanakao	Marahtta	51
218	215	Do	Hussane Beg	Musulman	30
219	216	Do	Rajojee	Marahtta	48
220	217	Do	Nuksmana naik	Balajay	51
221	218	Do	Nuksma	Gangadikar	48
222	219	Do	Deraj Rao	Marahtta	
223	220	Do	Mohadin Khan	Musulm	41
224	221	Do	Sivalal	Rajaput	42
225	222	Do	Subhanjee	Marahtta	43
226	223	Do	Runga	Vakilgar	31
227	224	Do	Venketraj	Kachewar	52
228	225	Do	Subbajetty	Jetty	30
229	226	Do	Naranajetty	Do	28
230	227	Do	Shamasingh	Rajaput	41
231	228	Do	Gul Khan	Musulman	51

Brought forward				2082	"	"	
march 1865	27	0	7		"	"	July 1881
June 1863	28	9	7		"	"	
July 1864	27	8	7		"	"	July 1878
Aug: 1864	27	7	7		"	"	
July 1864	27	8	7		"	"	
July 1864	27	8	7		"	"	
June 1888	3	9	7		"	"	
Aug: 1854	37	7	7		"	"	July 1878
Nov: 1864	27	4	7		"	"	
July 1862	29	8	7		"	"	
Jan: 1864	28	2	7		"	"	Oct: 1890
Dec: 1864	27	3	7		"	"	Oct: 1890
Nov: 1863	28	4	7		"	"	
Sept: 1864	27	6	7		"	"	
Aug: 1864	27	7	7		"	"	
April 1865	26	11	7		"	"	
Aug: 1864	27	7	7		"	"	
Jan: 1865	27	2	7		"	"	
Dec: 1843	48	3	7		"	"	Aug: 1870
Dec: 1864	27	3	7		"	"	Oct: 1890
May 1865	26	10	7		"	"	July 1881
June 1866	25	9	7		"	"	
Dec: 1864	27	3	7		"	"	Oct: 1891
march 1865	27	0	7		"	"	Oct: 1890
June 1865	26	9	7		"	"	Oct: 1890
July 1865	26	8	7		"	"	Oct: 1890
Nov: 1871	20	4	7		"	"	Feb: 1878
July 1865	26	8	7		"	"	Oct: 1890
July 1865	26	8	7		"	"	Oct: 1890
Oct: 1864	27	5	7		"	"	July 1885
Jan: 1890	2	2	7		"	"	
Aug: 1868	23	7	7		"	"	
Dec: 1864	27	3	7		"	"	July 1881
April 1879	12	7	7		"	"	
Aug: 1886	5	7	.6		"	"	
July 1856	35	8	7		"	"	June 1877
Dec: 1887	4	3	.6		"	"	
Feb: 1882	10	1	6		"	"	
July 1872	19	8	7		"	"	Feb: 1878
July 1865	26	8	7		"	"	Jan: 1892
Carried over				2358	"	"	

232	229	Sepoy	Sheik mohadeen	Musulman	59
233	230	Do	Jamal Khan	Do	59
234	231	Do	D-asee	Byader	54
235	232	Do	Hussain Sheriff	Musulman	46
236	233	Do	Muhamed Gouse	Do	27
237	234	Do	Veerabhadra	Kurubar	54
238	235	Do	Ahmed Sheriff	Musulman	41
239	236	Do	Muhamed Gouse	Do	4?
240	237	Do	Narayanee	Balajay	5?
241	238	Do	Muhamed Khaoham	Musulman	4?
242	239	Do	Gulab Singh	Rajaput	4?
243	240	Do	Mumtyuja Khan	Musulman	4?
244	241	Do	Muhamed Bndan	Do	3?
245	242	Do	Bala Krishnan	Rajaput	4?
246	243	Do	Yusuf Khan	Musulman	3?
247	244	Do	Adam Khan	Do	43
248	245	Do	Muhamed Morad	Do	4?
249	246	Do	Jaffar Nawab khan	Do	31
250	247	Do	Muhamed Khasim	Do	41
251	248	Do	Nunjundah	Vakligar	37
252	249	Do	Ram Singh	Rajaput	33
253	250	Do	Sayyid Kerudin	Musulman	3?
254	251	Do	Jagannath Prasad	Rajaput	2?
255	252	Do	Varada	Vakligar	41
256	253	Do	Muhamed Salar	Musulman	
257	254	Do	Raja Ram	Rajaput	38
258	255	Do	Mahadhojee	Marahtta	2?
259	256	Do	Mari	Gamgar	2?
260	257	Do	Gouse Peer	Musulman	
261	258	Do	Muhamed Usman	Do	—
262	259	Do	Krishnama Raj	Kachewar	3
263	260	Do	Muhamed Dustgeer	Musulman	3
264	261	Do	Hyder Sheriff	Do	2
265	262	Do	Runga	Unpar	2?
266	263	Do	Khader Ali	Musulman	4
267	264	Do	Gulam Dusthgeer	Do	5
268	265	Do	Muhamed Gouse	Do	3
269	266	Do	Sanjeevah	Thoraya	4
270	267	Do	Vasudev	Rajaput	4?
271	268	Do	Sheik Muhamed	Mus	2

861	31	2	7	" "	
Feb: 1861	31	1	7	" "	
July: 1861	30	8	7	" "	
:186	27	11	7	" "	
arch 188	6	0	6	" "	
April 1866	25	11	7	"	
May 1863		1	7		
Nov: 1863	28	4	7	"	
Nov: 186	28	4	7	" "	
July 1866	25	8	7	" "	July 1881
Sept: 186	27	6	7	"	July 1881
Novr: 1863	28	4	7	" "	
Jany 188	9		6	" "	
Novr: 1866	2		7	"	July 1881
June 1885	6	10	6	" "	
July 1882	9	8	6	"	
Novr: 1864	27	4	7	" "	
Decr: 1880	11	3	6		
March 18	38	0	6	"	Jany: 1873
Jany: 188	7	2	6	" "	
Decr: 1880	11	3	6	" "	
July 1881	10	8	6	"	
1882	9	11	6	" "	
1881	10	8	6	" "	
Dec: 1888	3	5	6	" "	
July 1881	10	8	6	" "	
April 1879	12	11	6	" "	
Novr: 1874	7	4	6	" "	
1890	1	7	6	"	
Jany: 188	3		6	" "	
July 1881	10	8	6	"	
July 1881	10	8	6	" "	
Decr: 1880	11	3	6	" "	
Jany: 1888	4	2	6	" "	
Decr: 1876	15	3	6	"	
Novr 1877	14	4	6	" "	
Aug: 1877	14	7	6		
July 1885	6	8	6		
July 1863	28	8	6	" "	
Aug: 1884	7	7	6	" "	
Carried			261	" "	

272	269	Sepoy	Devi Prasad	Rajaput	3
273	270	Do	Sheik Allurtyya	Mulman	3
274	271	Do	Muhamed Gouse	Do	3
275	272	Do	Muhamed Khan	Do	4
276	273	Do	Muhamed Kaleeb	Do	4
277	274	Do	Subba Rao	Marahtta	
278	275	Do	Muhamed Ummar	Musulman	
279	276	Do	Veu Reta Raj	Rachewar	32
280	277	Do	Venkatramana	Banajiga	3
281	278	Do	Subbah	Banajiga	3
282	279	Do	Abdul Rahiman	Musulman	
283	280	Do	Muhamed Peer	Do	
284	281	Do	Sidda	Vakligar	32
285	282	Do	Venkatamy naidoo	Balajey	26
286	283	Do	Rama Rao	Marahtta	36
287	284	Do	Khasim Khan	Musulman	38
288	285	Do	Sayyid Bndan	Do	47
289	286	Do	Abdul Khader	Do	16
290	287	Do	Nunja	Vakligar	37
291	288	Do	Sheik Ahmed	Musulman	28
292	289	Do	Sayyid Ummar	Do	30
293	290	Do	Narasimha	Byader	
294	291	Do	Lalabeg	Musulman	
295	292	Do	Abdul Khader	Do	
296	293	Do	Sayyid Hayath	Do	
297	294	Do	Abdul Russool	Do	19
298	295	Do	Runga	Vakligar	25
299	296	Do	Khasim Ali	Musulman	3[illegible]
300	297	Do	Venket Rao	Marahtta	
301	298	Do	Devi Singh	Rajaput	3
302	299	Do	Abdul Khader	Musulman	19
303	300	Do	Rama	Jelugu Banaja	
304	301	Do	Sheik Davood	Musulman	38
305	302	Do	Allaree	Durubar	47
306	303	Do	Dulaj a Ram	Rajaput	32
307	304	Do	Heeroje	Marahtta	52
308	305	Do	Muhamed Dustgir	Musulman	37
309	306	Do	Siva Singh	Rajaput	31
310	307	Do	Sheik Mustan	Musulman	52
311	308	Do	Narasimha	Jelugu Banajiga	4
				Balajay	5

ought			612	"	
: 1880	12	2	6	"	"
9: 1885	6	7	6	"	"
: 1883	9	2	6	"	"
July 1881	10		6	"	"
July 1881	10	8	6		
Decr: 1889	2	3	6		"
1890	1	9	6	"	"
1882	9	11	6	"	
187	12	1	6	"	
": 18	8	6	6	"	"
July 1889	2	8	6	"	
Jany: 1892	0	2	6		"
: 1872	19	7	6	"	"
Jany: 1883	9		6	"	"
Jany: 1882	10	2	6	"	"
Decr: 1880	11	3	6	"	"
plr: 1883	8	6	6	"	"
Decr: 1884	7		6		
Febry: 188	9	1			
Decr. 1884	7	3	6	"	"
nov: 1874	17	4	6	"	"
Decr 1886	5	3	6		"
Decr 1890	1	3	6	"	"
march 1878	14	0	6	"	"
march 1878	14	0	6	"	"
April 1879	12	11	6	"	"
'ecr: 1887	4	3	6	"	"
march 1878	14	0	6	"	"
July 1889	2	8	6	"	"
": 1888	3	6	6	"	"
Decr: 1889	2	3	6	"	"
Feby: 1892	0	1	6	"	
April 1879	12	11	6	"	"
Seplr: 1860	31	6	6		
Aug: 1877	14	7	6		
July 1878	13	8	6	"	
Aug. 1873	18	7	6	"	"
Decr: 1876	15	3	6	"	"
Feby: 1851	41	1	6	"	" Seplr: 1871
March 1878	14	0	6	"	"
Feby: 1865	27	1	6	"	" June 1876
Carried			2858	"	"

313	310	Lepoy	Hayath Khan	Musulman	58
314	311	Do	Jelvajee	Marahtta	42
315	312	Do	Gulab Singh	Rajaput	30
316	313	Do	Sheik Mohadin	Musulman	33
317	314	Do	Siddojee	Marahtta	35
318	315	Do	Hanumantha naik	Jelugu Banaya	32
319	316	Do	Luksmana Singh	Rajaput	30
320	317	Do	Muhamed Bndan	Musulman	36
321	318	Do	Sheik Adam	Do	43
322	319	Do	Hussainali Raza	Do	26
323	320	Do	Sidda Linfiah	Kiraehar	70
324	321	Do	Meer Usman Ali	Musulman	40
325	322	Do	Veerabhadra	Vakiligar	32
326	323	Do	Muhamed Hussan	Musulman	
327	324	Do	Sidda naik	Thoraya	30
328	325	Do	Anlla	Vakiligar	33
329	326	Do	Rama	Thoraya	35
330	327	Do	Muhamed Hussain	Musulman	
331	328	Do	Mada	Ganigar	25
332	329	Do	Rahiman Sheriff	Musulman	25
333	330	Do	Muhamed Hamza	Do	32
334	331	Do	Venketa		
335	332	Do	Sayyid Murtyuza	Musulman	
336	333	Do	Krishnasingh	Rajaput	
337	334	Do	Abdul Razak	Musulman	38
338	335	Do	Muhamed Jamal	Do	38
339	336	Do	Krishnamanaidoo	Jelugu Banaya	32
340	337	Do	Hanumantha Rao	Marahtta	38
341	338	Do	Abdul Khader	Musulman	
342	339	Do	Gouse Peer	Do	38
343	340	Do	Muhamed Ibrahim	Do	
344	341	Do	Madhojee	Marahtta	
345	342	Do	Jally Narayanee	Jatty	28
346	343	Do	Rama	Jelugu Banaya	24
347	344	Do	Rama	Do	40
348	345	Do	Krishnajee	Marahtta	50
3	3 6	Do	Narasimha	Vakiliar	28

Brought			2858	"	"	
Febr: 1852	39	5	6	"	"	July 1872
Febr: 1877	14	5	6	"	"	
April 1879	12	11	6	"	"	
March 1878	14	0	6	"	"	
July 1878	13	8	6	"	"	
Jany: 1882	10	2	6	"	"	
Jany: 1882	10	2	6	"	"	
Jany: 1882	10	2	6	"	"	
Nov: 1887	4	4	6	"	"	
Decr. 1890	1	3	6	"	"	
Febr. 1843	48	5	6	"	"	April 1882
Feby: 1881	11	1	6	"	"	
Septr: 1883	8	6	6	"	"	
March 1889	3	0	6	"	"	
Aug: 1886	5	7	6	"	"	
Feby: 1883	9	1	6	"	"	
Jany: 1883	9	2	6	"	"	
Aug: 1889	2	7	6	"	"	
Decr. 1884	7	3	6	"	"	
Octr: 1884	7	5	6	"	"	
July 1878	13	8	6	"	"	
Decr: 1889	2	3	6	"	"	
March 1889	3	0	6	"	"	
Decr: 1890	1	4	6	"	"	
Jany: 1882	10	2	6	"	"	
Jany: 1873	19	2	6	"	"	Aug: 1877
May 1877	14	10	6	"	"	
Jany: 1887	5	2	6	"	"	
Decr: 1890	1	4	6	"	"	
July 1885	6	8	6	"	"	
Feby: 1892	0	1	6	"	"	
Feby. 1892	0	1	6	"	"	
March 1886	6	0	6		"	
Decr: 1889	2	3	6	"	"	
Febr: 1871	20	5	6	"	"	
Feby: 1862	30	1	6	"		Septr: 1876
July 1886	5	9	6		"	
Carried over			3080	"	"	

350	347	Sepoy	Chenna	Kurubar	33
351	348	Do	Ramajatty	Jatty	34
352	349	Do	Muhamed Khan	Musulman	44
353	350	Do	Sheik Madar	Do	54
354	351	Do	Khasim Khan	Do	54
355	352	Do	Balaram	Rajaput	37
356	353	Do	Chicka	Kurubar	38
357	354	Do	Lingojee	Marahtta	36
358	355	Do	Pulla	Telugu	40
359	356	Do	Sayyid Khan	Musulman	36
360	357	Do	Mohanlal	Rajaput	52
361	358	Do	Thimmah	Vakiljar	56
362	359	Do	Thimmah	Do	55
363	360	Do	Muhamed Akbar	Musulman	33
364	361	Do	Siddhojee		45
365	362	Do	Dukkojee	Do	60
366	363	Do	Radda	Vakiljar	33
367	364	Do	Gulam Dusthgir	Musulman	30
368	365	Do	Abdul Razak	Do	33
369	366	Do	Niza mohadin	Do	32
370	367	Do	Ramajatty	Jatty	36
371	368	Do	Khader Peer	Musulman	39
372	369	Do	Ranojee	Marahtta	49
373	370	Do	Gangajatty	Jatty	33
374	371	Do	Muhamed Khan	Musulman	52
375	372	Do	Gouse Khan	Do	21
376	373	Do	Sidda naik	Thoraya	35
378	374	Do	Muhamed Hayatta	Musulm	51
378	375	Do	Hempa	Vakiljar	33
379	376	Do	Sheik Dawoodh	Musulman	56
380	377	Do	Abdulla Khan	Do	41
381	378	Do	Munththa	Telugu	3
382	380	Do	Yeera	Kurubar vga	5
383	381	Do	Maree	Vakiljar	5.
384	382	Do	Ramasingh	Rajaput	3
385	383	Do	Dyava	Vakiljar	2
386	384	Do	Haje Sayyid Ebrahim	Musulman	
387	385	Do	Narasinga Khan	Rajaput	4
388	386	Do	Lukka	Ganiger	5

		2	6	u		
1884	7	7	6	u		
6	30	1	6	u	u	Septr 1876
1862	30	1	6	u		Septr: 187
1862	30	1	6	u	u	Septr: 187
1876	15	11	6	u	u	Septr 187
1875	16	7	6	u	u	Septr: 187
1882	10	2	6	u		
1879	12	11	6	u	u	
1880	11	3	6	u	u	
Feby: 1862	30	1	6	u	u	Septr 1876
Septr 1862	29	6	6	u	u	Septr: 187
Septr: 186	29	6	6	u	u	Septr: 1876
any 1883	10	2	6	u		
1862	29	6	6	u		Septr. 187
1862	29	6	6	u	u	Septr. 187
Jany: 1883	9	2	6	u	u	
1882	9	11	6	u		
1880	11	3	6	u		
1882	9	11	6	u		
1876	15	11	6	u		Septr 187
1875	16	7	6	u	u	Septr: 187
Septr: 1862	29	6	6	u		Septr 187
1876	15	11	6	u		Septr: 1876
1862	29	5	6	u		Septr 1876
Decr 1885	6	3	6	u	u	
Jany: 1878	14	2	6	u		
Octr: 1862	29	5	6	u		Septr. 187
Jany 1882	10	2	6	u		
Septr. 1862	29	6	6	u		Septr 1876
Octr: 1870	21	5	6	u		Septr 187
April 1882	9	11	6	u		
Septr: 1862	29	6	6	u	u	Septr 187
June 1863	28	9	6	u		Septr. 187
Jany 1880	12	2	6	u	u	
Decr: 1886	5	3	6	u		
July 1890	1	8	6	u		
Nov. 1863	28	4	6	u		
Nov. 1863	28		6	u		Septr. 1876
Carried over			3314	u	u	

389	387	Lepoy	Ranojee	Marahtta	42
390	388	Do	Venketramanna	Balajay	31
391	389	Do	Siva Singh	Rajaput	37
392	390	Do	Venketa Setty	Weaver	23
393	391	Do	Subba Rao	Marahtta	54
394	392	Do	Gulam Ali	Musulman	30
395	393	Do	Sanjeevah	Thoraya	43
396	394	Do	Lutchi Ram	Rajaput	39
397	395	Do	Seetharam	Do	69
398	396	Do	Ulnhamed Hussain	Musulman	43
399	397	Do	Sanjeeva	Thoraya	35
400	398	Do	Ramasetty	Banajiga	24
401	399	Do	Narasimha	Do	48
402	400	Do	Kala	Kurubar	43
403	401	Do	Venketramanna	Telugu Banaj	33
404	402	Do	Sayyid Dawoodh	Musulman	36
405	403	Do	Ulnhamed Usman	Do	37
406	404	Do	Ulnhamed Hayath	Musulman	38
407	405	Do	Hanumanthsingh	Rajaput	34
408	406	Do	Ganesha Singh	Do	38
409	407	Do	Chesha	Balajay	41
410	408	Do	Ulnhamed Hussan	Musulman	35
411	409	Do	Gulam Ulmutyurza	Do	4
412	410	Do	Narayana Prasad	Rajaput	3
413	411	Do	Akbar Beg	Musulman	5
414	412	Do	Ulmcha naik	Thoraya	2
415	413	Do	Ulnhamed Yakoob	Musulman	4
416	414	Do	Rencha	Vakligar	2
417	415	Do	Sheik Budan	Musulman	5
418	416	Do	Sheik Kamal	Do	3
419	417	Do	Huncha	Vakligar	3
420	418	Do	Ulnhamed Hayath	Musulman	2
421	419	Do	Hyder Khan	Do	3
422	420	Do	Usman Khan	Do	4
423	421	Do	Gurraj	Rachewar	4
424	422	Do	Bahadur Singh	Rajaput	4
425	423	Do	Mannajee	Marahtta	2
426	424	Do	Rama Singh	Rajaput	4
427	425	Do	Dyava	Vakligar	4

			- 3514	" "	
1	20	10	6	" "	Septr: 1876
18	13	2	6	" "	
187	12	1	6	"	
1885	6	8	6	"	
1863	28	4	6	" "	Septr: 1876
eby: 1883	9	1	6	" "	
1867	24	11	6	"	Septr: 187
1870	21	11	6	"	Septr: 187
1866	25	6	6	"	Septr: 18
1870	21	7	6	" "	Septr: 187
: 1878	14	2	6	" "	
1887	4	10	6	" "	
: 1871	21	2	6	"	Septr: 187
	5	0	6	" "	
1882	9	11	6	" "	
187	20	6	6	"	Septr: 1876
1873	18	8	6	"	Septr. 187
march 1876	16	0	6	" "	Septr: 187
Jany: 1873	19	2	6	"	Septr: 187
Jany: 187	19	2	6	"	Septr: 187
: 18 2	19	4	6	"	Septr: 187
18	20	0	6	"	Septr: 187
March 18s	34	0	6	" "	Septr: 187
April 188	9	11	6	" "	
1860	31	6	6	" "	Septr: 1876
Feby: 1883	9	1	6	"	
Feby: 1863	29	1	6	" "	Septr: 1876
march 1887	5	0	6	"	
: 1862	29	6	6	" "	Septr: 187
Feby: 1885	7	1	6	" "	
Aug: 1875	16	7	6	"	Septr: 187
Jany: 1883	9	2	6	" "	
July 1887	4	8	6		
Jany: 186	28	2	6	"	
may 1871	20	10	6		Septr: 1876
Feby: 1865	27	1	6	"	Septr: 187
18	2	9	6	"	
Decr: 186	27	3	6	"	Septr: 1876
Feby: 186	27	1	6	" "	Septr: 187
ed			354	" "	

428	426	Sepoy.	Hari Singh	Rajaput	32
429	427	Do.	Sayyid Mokhdum	Musulman	50
430	428	Do.	Muhamed Cauff	Do.	22
431	429	Do.	Honnageree	Byader	32
432	430	Do.	Krishnajee	marahtta	36
433	431	Do.	Nanjundah	Byader	49
434	432	Do.	Linga	Vakligar	61
435	433	Do.	Lucksmana Raja	Rachewar	32
436	434	Do.	Rama Singh	Rajaput	
437	435	Do.	Hayath Khan	Musulman	45
438	436	Do.	Khandhojee	marahtta	44
439	437	Do.	Mahajis Khan	Musulman	45
440	438	Do.	Jawarah	Vakligar	43
441	439	Do.	Sultan Khan	Musulman	34
442	440	Do.	Radhojee	marahtta	38
443	441	Do.	Gulam mohadin	Musulman	
444	442	Do.	Chicka	Kurubar	57
445	443	Do.	Chicka	Keraganiga	50
446	444	Do.	Heerojee	marahtta	42
447	445	Do.	Gybaud Navad Khan	Musulma	46
448	446	Do.	Linga	Vakligar	36
449	447	Do.	Mayiga	Vakligar	47
450	448	Do.	Khader Khan	Musulman	56
451	449	Do.	Bhavani Singh	Rajaput	30
452	450	Do.	Appavoo		33
453	451	Do.	Meer Gulam Hussain	Musul	38
454	452	Do.	Chicka	Keraganiga	34
455	453	Do.	Muslan Khan	Musulman	60
456	454	Do.	Muhamed Noman	Do.	54
457	455	Do.	Muhamed Muslan	Do.	48
458	456	Do.	Hussan Sheriff	Do.	28
459	457	Do.	Hempa	Vakligar	42
460	458	Do.	Imamudin	Musulm	37
461	459	Do.	Ali Sheriff	Do.	48
462	460	Do.	Basava Linga	Dhoraya	44
463	461	Do.	Abdul Rahiman		48
464	462	Do.	Sheik Hayath	Do.	46
465	463	Do.	Muhamed Peer	Do.	41
466	464	Do.	Linga	akli. ar	44

Brought forward			3548	"	"	
April 1882	9	11	6	"	"	
Septr 1864	27	6	6	"	"	Septr: 1876
Nov: 1886	5	4	6	"	"	
Aug: 1882	10	2	6	"	"	
June 1877	14	9	6	"	"	
Octr: 1865	26	5	6	"	"	Septr: 1876
July 1865	26	8	6	"	"	Septr: 1876
April 1882	9	11	6	"	"	
Septr. 1891	0	6	6		"	
Septr: 1867	24	6	6		"	Septr: 1876
March 1867	25	0	6	"	"	Septr: 1876
June 1859	32	9	6	"	"	Septr: 1876
April 1876	15	11	6	"	"	Septr: 1876
April 1879	12	11	6		"	
Octr: 1872	19	5	6	"	"	Septr: 1876
June 1889	2	9	6	"	"	
Septr: 1862	29	6	6	"	"	Septr: 1876
March 1865	27	0	6	"	"	Septr: 1876
Decr: 1884	7	3	6		"	
Febry: 1875	17	1	6		"	Septr: 1876
Decr. 1876	15	3	6	"	"	
Decr: 1876	15	3	6	"	"	
Octr. 1882	9	5	6		"	
Janry: 1884	8	2	6		"	
July 1885	6	9	6		"	
March 1878	14	0	6		"	
July 1879	12	8	6		"	
July 1859	32	8	6	"	"	
April 1862	29	11	6	"	"	Septr: 1876
Septr 1862	29	6	6		"	Septr 1876
Nov. 1887	4	4	6		"	
April 1887	4	11	6		"	
April 1879	12	11	6			
Aug. 1864	27	7	6	"		Septr: 1876
Febry: 1867	2	1	6		"	Septr. 1876
Octr: 1869	2	5	6			Septr. 1876
Febry: 1867	2	1	6		"	Septr: 1876
Octr: 1867	24	5	6	"	"	Septr. 1876
Septr: 1866	25	6	6		"	Septr: 1876
Carried			378		"	

467	465	Lepoy	Muhamed Muslam	Musulman	53
468	466	Do	Muhamed Imam	Do	53
469	467	Do	Bndan Khan	Do	37
470	468	Do	Bolah	Dhorayya	53
471	469	Do	Mayeega	Vakligar	28
472	470	Do	Ganga Ram	Rajaput	54
473	471	Do	Gulam Muhamed	Musulman	30
474	472	Do	Muhamed Peer	Do	48
475	473	Do	Khader Abbas	Do	37
476	474	Do	Muhamed Hussain	Do	37
477	475	Do	Sultan Mohadin	Do	44
478	476	Do	Aucha	Vakligar	33
479	477	Do	Sheik Mudan	Musulman	44
480	478	Do	Muhamed Bndan	Do	42
481	479	Do	Muhamed Beg	Do	45
482	480	Do	Sayyid Habidh	Do	52
483	481	Do	Siva Prasad	Rajaput	48
484	482	Do	Sellivajee	Marahtta	29
485	483	Do	Gulam Hussain	Musulman	41
486	484	Do	Bhima Singh	Rajaput	36
487	485	Do	Sayyid Ibrahim	Musulman	46
			Maintenance of Guards at Krakam Raj Bahadoor's house		
488	646	Do	Runga	Byader	
489	647	Do	Basava	Vakligar	
490	648	Do	Narasimha Raj	Rachewar	
491	649	Do	Maree	Vakligar	
492	650	Do	Krishna	Do	
493	651	Do	Meyputyza Sheriff	Musulman	
494	652	Do	Sheik Ibrahim	Do	
495	653	Do	Sayyid Peer	Do	
			Barr Recruits		
496	663	Probationer	Sudarsana Singh	Rajaput	17
497	664		Abdul Rahim son of Muhamed	Musulman	
498	665		Rahiman Khan son of Bndan Khan	Do	
499	666		Balajee son of Hanmand	Mahar	14
500	667		Abdul Khader son of Sayd Tekrudin	Musul	16
501	668		Krishnajee son of Inlajajee	Marahtta	
502	669		Muhamed Khasim son of Muhamed	Musul	11
503	670		Muhamed Akbar son of Subedar Abdul Rahiman	Do	18
504	671		Abdul Rahiman son of Muhamed	Do	16

			782	" "	
186	22	7	6	" "	Sept: 1876
18 3	18	9	6	"	Sept 187
1	12	11	8	"	June 189
186	2			"	Sept: 187
188	5	7	6	" "	
186	27	10	6	"	Sept 187
188	6	4	6		
1863	28	8	6		Sept. 187
arch 187	14	0	6	"	
: 187	16	3	6		Sept: 18
: 186	27	4	6	"	Sept: 187
1876	16	2	6		Sept 187
: 186	2	3	6	" "	Sept 187
1865	26		6	" "	Sept: 187
1864	27	3	6	"	Sept: 187
186	25	11	6	"	Sept: 187
1880	11	3	6	"	
188	6	3	6	" "	
1870	21	11	6	"	Sept: 1876
1878	13	8	6		
186	24	10	6	"	Sept: 1876
Deer. 1884	7	3	6		
Deer 188	7	3	6		
1892	0	1	6		
Deer: 1884	7	3	6		
Deer 1884	7	3	6		
Deer: 1884	7	3	6		
Deer: 188	7	3	6		
8	7	3	6		
May 1890	1	10	12	" "	
Feby 1892	0	1	2	" "	
Feby: 189	0	1	4	"	
Deer 1889	2	3	3		
Deer 18 0	1	3	3		
88	6	8	3		
Deer: 189	1	3	3		
Deer. 188	7	3	3		
1	8	6	2		
Carried			3993		

505	672		Krishna Singh son of Subedar Ram Singh	Rajaput	8
506	673		Chuckun son of Havaldar Chuck	Bâlajeey	4
507	674		Muhamed Jaffer son of Naik Bhoan	Musulman	15
508	675		Muhamed Hyder son of Muhamed Reet	Do	14
509	676		Bora grandson of Annadhan	vakliga	
510	677		Nanjundah son of Basawa Linga	Do	3
			Body guard —		
511	587	Commandant	Baddrudin Khan	Musulman	6
512	486	Jamedar	Venkut Rao	Marahtta	6
513	487	Havaldar	Manaji Rao	Do	6
514	488	Do	Muhamed Khasim	Musulman	6
515	489	Naik	Lucksmana Singh	Rajaput	4
516	490	Do	Bezan Singh	Do	5
517	491	Do	Raja Ram	Do	6
518	492	Sepoy	Lakhu Ram	Do	7
519	494	Do	Uladar Khan	Musulman	6
520	495	Do	Raza Hussain	Do	6
521	496	Do	Murthi Rao	Brahmin	
522	497	Do	Krishna Singh	Rajaput	6
523	498	Do	Lucksmah	Byader	4
524	499	Do	Munjaji Rao	Marahtta	5
525	500	Naik	Karim Khan	Musulman	4
526	501	Do	Abdul Muhamed	Do	5
527	502	Sepoy	Jaffar Ahmed	Do	6
528	503	Do	Lal Khan	Do	3
529	504	Lassa naik	Muhamed Khan	Do	5
530	505	Sepoy	Bhira Singh	Rajaput	4
531	506	Do	Rama Rao	Marahtta	4
532	507	Do	Sheik Hussain	Musulman	4
533	508	Do	Narayana Rao	Marahtta	3
534	509	Do	Syed Ali	Musulman	3
535	510	Do	Hyder Beg	Do	5
536	511	Naik	Abdul vahab Khan	Do	4
537	512	Sepoy	Madhava Singh	Rajaput	5
538	513	Do	Sayyd Imam	Musulman	5
539	514	Lassa naik	Mohadin Khan	Do	5
540	515	Sepoy	Sayyid Hussain	Do	4
541	516	Do	Nizah Khan	Do	

rom ful-forward			3993	"	"	
nuy: 1891	1	2	5	"	"	
nuy: 1891	1	2	3	"	"	
nuy: 1891	1	2	3	"	"	
nuy: 1891	1	2	2	"	"	
nuy 1891	1	2	2	"	"	
nuy: 1891	1	2	2	"	"	
ovr: 1872	19	6	200	"	"	Jany: 1890
arch 1853	38	0	20	"	"	may 1873
uly 1855	36	8	12	"	"	
ug: 1845	46	7	18	"	"	April 188:
ovr: 1863	28	4	13	"	"	Nov. 1885
ept: 1857	34	6	13	"	"	April 188:
Feby: 1858	34	1	10	"	"	
Feby: 1844	48	1	9	"	"	
Dec: 1848	43	3	9	"	"	
Oct: 1848	43	5	9	"	"	
narch 1888	4	0	12	"	"	
may 1855	36	10	9	"	"	
July 1856	35	8	9	"	"	
Jany: 1883	9	2	15	8	"	April 188
Dec: 1863	28	3	13	"	"	Dec: 1889
April 1859	32	11	13	"	"	Dec: 1889
April 1859	32	11	9	"	"	April 1882
Feby: 1880	12	1	10	"	"	Jany: 1887
June 1859	32	9	12	"	"	April 1882
Jany: 1876	16	2	12	"	"	April 1882
ug: 1869	22	7	12	"	"	April 1882
April 1863	28	11	12	"	"	April 1882
Feby: 1880	12	1	12	"	"	April 1888
narch 1876	16	0	12	"	"	April 1882
Nov: 1863	28	4	9	"	"	
Nov: 1863	28	4	16	"	"	Dec: 1889
March 1858	34	0	9	"	"	Jany: 187
Dec: 1864	27	3	12	"	"	April 1882
Nov: 1866	25	4	12	"	"	April 1882
Dec: 1863	28	3	12	"	"	April 1882
April 1888	3	11	12	"	"	April 1888
arried over			4567	8	"	

542	517	Lascar	Hanmantha Rao	Marahtta	
543	518	Do	Krishna Singh	Rajaput	33
544	519	Do	Muhamed Nunnar	Musulman	6(
545	520	Do	Gulam Mohadin	Do	4(
546	521	Do	Balaram Singh	Rajaput	46
547	523	Do	Chelva	Vakligar	48
548	524	Do	Shankerlal	Rajaput	5:
549	525	Do	Das araj	Rachewar	5:
550	526	Do	Sivaji	Marahtta	4(
551	527	Do	Anantha Ram	Rajaput	4(
552	654	Do	Lucksmana Singh	Do	
553	655	Do	Tellivajee	Marahtta	
554	656	Do	Sultan Mohadin	Musulman	
555	657	Do	Anjani Ram	Rajaput	
556	658	Do	Ramakao	Marahtta	
557	660	Recruit	Chenna Krishnajah		
558	661	Do	Rahim Khan	Musulman	
559	662	Do	Abdul Mahajid Khan	Do	
560	659	Syce.	Muddah		
561	679	Do	Muddah		
562	631	Pakalay	Muhamed Hussain	Musulman	
563	632	Chowdri	Mudah	Balagyi	
564	633	Syce	Chenna		
565	634	Do	Anlla instead of Thimmah		
566	635	Do	Malla		
567	636	Do	Dyavah		
568	637	Do	Lingah		
569	638	Do	Veerabhadra		
570	639	Do	Ramma instead of Hanuma		
571	640	Do	Sivaji		
572	641	Do	Kempah		
573	642	Do	Narayanarao instead of Sheik Muslem		
574	643	Do	Rantay Geriah		
575	644	Do	Ranjah		
576	645	Chengli	Huchi		
			Buglers.		
577	528		Raju	Christian	
578	529		Durga	C	

rought forward.			567	8	"		
pril 1888	3	11	12	"	"	April 1888	
ctr 1876	15	5	12	"	"	April 1888	
ctr: 1858	33	5	7	"	"	✓	
eer: 1857	34	3	7	"	"		
eer. 1887	4	3	7	"	"	March 1888	
ng: 1863	28	7	7	"	"		
ov. 1863	28	4	7	"	"		
ary. 1865	27	2	7	"	"		
plr: 1865	26	6	7	"	"		
ctr. 1865	26	5	7	"	"		
pril 1888	3	11	12	"	"	✓	
April 1888	3	11	12	"	"		
plr: 1888	3	6	7	"	"	✓	
plr: 1888	3	6	9	"	"	✓	
plr: 1888	3	6	9	"	"		
eer: 1889	2	3	5	"	"	✓	
eer: 1889	2	3	5	"	"		
eer: 1889	2	3	5	"	"		
arch 1889	3	0	6	"	"	✓	
ng: 1891	0	7	6	"	"	✓	
ov: 1881	10	4	8	"	"	✓	
ov: 1881	10	4	7	"	"	✓	
ov. 1881	10	4	6	"	"		
ov: 1881	10	4	6	"	"		
ov: 1881	10	4	6	"	"		
ov: 1881	10	4	6	"	"		
ov: 1881	10	4	6	"	"		
ov: 1881	10	4	6	"	"		
ov. 1881	10	4	6	"	"		
ov: 1881	10	4	6	"	"		
ov: 1881	10	4	6	"	"		
ov: 1881	10	4	6	"	"		
ov: 1881	10	4	4	"	"		
ov: 1881	10	4	4	"	"		
ov: 1881	10	4	2	8	"		
ay 1854	37	10	7	"	"		
ary: 1863	29	2	7	"	"		
arried over			4823	"	"		

579	530		Joseph Dom	Christian	48
580	531		Francis "	Do "	54
			Golondaes —		
581	532	Havaldar	Muhamed Jabber	Musulman	68
582	533	Sepoy	Muhamed Esub C	Do "	
583	534	Do "	Gangaji	Marahtta	61
584	535	Do "	Muhamed Yakoob	Musulman	74
585	536	Do "	Abdul Rahiman	Do "	
586	537	Do "	Erah	Balajay	60
587	538	Do "	Dasee	Vakiljar	28
588	539	Do "	Sheik Muhamed	Musulman	58
589	540	Do "	Muhamed Meryatyza	Do "	58
590	541	Do "	Gous Khan	Do "	58
591	542	Do "	Muhamed Hayath	Do "	50
592	543	Do "	Sheik Muhamed	Do "	54
593	544	Do "	Sheik Dawood	Do "	61
594	545	Do "	Khasim Khan	Do "	46
595	546	Do "	Budan Sheriff	Do "	49
596	547	Do "	Edarush Sheriff	Do "	50
597	548	Do "	Chain Singh	Rajaput	57
598	549	Do "	Venketramana	Balajay	3
599	550	Do "	Singree	Do "	5
600	551	Do "	Sheik Imam	Musulman	38
601	552	Do "	Aucha	Vakiljar	38
602	553	Do "	Vobaly.	Byader	39
603	554	Do "	Sayyid Birahim	Musulman	38
604	555	Do "	Muhamed Khan	Do "	27
605	556	Do "	Abdulla Khan	Do	28
			Bandsmen		
606	557	Bandmaster	Dl. Frees		
607	558	Serjent	Fiddler Deniz		
608	559	Havaldar	Bhappoo	Christian	58
609	560	Do "	Lazarus Raju		44
610	561	Naik	Lazarus Miqael		32
611	562	Do "	Davis		48
612	563	assa naik			

rought forward			5283	"	"	
April 1866	25	11	12	"	"	July 1889
June 1853	38	9	16	"	"	Nov: 1884
June 1853	38	9	13	8	"	Nov: 1884
Aug: 1871	20	7	13	"	"	July 1889
April 1879	12	11	13	"	"	July 1889
Dec. 1880	11	3	13	"	"	July 1889
May 1860	31	10	12	"	"	Nov: 1884
Sept: 1864	27	6	12	"	"	Nov: 1884
May 1860	31	10	12	"	"	July 1889
April 1879	12	11	12	"	"	July 1889
April 1879	12	11	12	"	"	Do "
June 1885	6	9	12	"	"	April 1886
April 1869	22	11	11	"	"	Nov: 1884
April 1879	12	11	11	"	"	July 1889
Dec: 1880	11	3	11	"	"	Do "
Feby: 1857	35	1	10	8	"	July 1889
April 1862	29	11	10	8	"	Nov: 1884
Sept: 1871	20	6	9	"	"	Nov: 1884
Nov: 1883	8	4	9	"	"	July 1889
April 1879	12	11	8	"	"	Do "
Dec: 1880	11	3	8	"	"	April 1887
April 1883	8	11	8	"	"	July 1889
Nov: 1883	8	4	8	"	"	Do "
June 1884	7	9	8	"	"	Do "
April 1879	12	11	7	"	"	Nov: 1884
Dec: 1880	11	3	7	"	"	Do "
April 1879	12	11	7	"	"	July 1889
June 1884	7	9	7	"	"	Do "
Aug: 1877	15	2	7	"	"	July 1889
Aug: 1885	7	2	7	"	"	Do "
April 1887	4	11	7	"	"	April 1888
Nov: 1883	8	4	7	"		July 1889
July 1885	6	8	6	8	"	Do "
Dec: 1880	11	3	6	"	"	April 1887
Carried over			5616	"	"	

613	564	Lascarait	Maryan Chowry
614	565	Bandman	Damarala
615	566	Do	Raju Gabarel
616	567	Do	Lazarus Simon
617	568	Do	Juvan Raju
618	569	Do	Lazarus brother Lazar
619	570	Do	Dom Gabarel
620	571	Do	Johnarala
621	572	Do	Muthuarala
622	573	Do	David
623	574	Do	Raju Dom
624	575	Do	Cox
625	576	Do	Mari Joseph
626	577	Do	Peter Francis
627	578	Do	Raju Subbu
628	579	Do	Lazarus Dom
629	580	Do	John Micael
630	581	Do	Francis Richay-
631	582	Do	Micael Francis
632	583	Do	Anthoni Migael
633	584	Do	Muniyah
634	585	Do	Salomon Raju
635	586	Do	Micael
636	587	Do	Micael Bhappoo
637	588	Do	Raju
638	589	Do	Chinnah
639	590	Do	Joseph Lontyan
640	591	Do	Lazar Bastyah
641	592	Do	Appavoo Micael
642	593	Do	Juvanvah
643	594	Do	Anthoni Issac
644	595	Do	Velavendra Francis
645	596	Do	Raya Raju
646	597	Do	Abbu Issac

rought forward			5283	"	"	
April 1866	25	11	12	"	"	July 1889
June 1853	38	9	16	"	"	Nov. 1884
June 1853	38	9	13	8	"	Nov. 1884
Aug 1871	20	7	13	"	"	July 1889
April 1879	12	11	13	"	"	July 1889
Dec. 1880	11	3	13	"	"	July 1889
May 1860	31	10	12	"	"	Nov. 1884
Sept. 1864	27	6	12	"	"	Nov. 1884
May 1860	31	10	12	"	"	July 1889
April 1879	12	11	12	"	"	July 1889
April 1879	12	11	12	"	"	Do "
June 1885	6	9	12	"	"	April 1886
April 1869	22	11	11	"	"	Nov. 1884
April 1879	12	11	11	"	"	July 1889
Dec. 1880	11	3	11	"	"	Do "
Feby. 1857	35	1	10	8	"	July 1889
April 1862	29	11	10	8	"	Nov. 1884
Sept. 1871	20	6	9	"	"	Nov. 1884
Nov. 1883	8	4	9	"	"	July 1889
April 1879	12	11	8	"	"	Do "
Dec. 1880	11	3	8	"	"	April 1887
April 1883	8	11	8	"	"	July 1889
Nov. 1883	8	4	8	"	"	Do "
June 1884	7	9	8	"	"	Do "
April 1879	12	11	7	"	"	Nov. 1884
Dec. 1880	11	3	7	"	"	Do "
April 1879	12	11	7	"	"	July 1889
June 1884	7	9	7	"	"	Do "
Aug. 1877	15	2	7	"	"	July 1889
Aug. 1885	7	2	7	"	"	Do "
April 1887	4	11	7	"	"	April 1888
Nov. 1883	8	4	7	"	"	July 1889
May 1885	6	8	6	8	"	Do "
Dec. 1880	11	3	6	"	"	April 1887
Carried over			5616	"	"	

647	598	Bandman	Arthunn Raju	
648	599	Do	Jonas arala	
649	600	Do	Chowri	
650	601	Do	Micael Velivaindsa	
651	602	Do	John Micael	
652	603	Do	Arogyam Raju	
653	604	Do	John Thomas	
654	605	Do	Raju Chinnah -	
655	606	Do	Francis joseph	
656	607	Do	Joseph Lavaram	
657	608	Do	Sebyastian Raju	
658	609	Do	Santyahvoo Rajoo	
659	610	Do	Rajchdram Lazar	
660	611	Do	Joseph John	
661	612	Do	Harry	
662	613	Do	Anthoni Dom	
663	614	Do	Rajendram Chowri	
664	615	Do	Maryam Chowri	
665	616	Do	Anthoni Chowri	
666	617	Do	Jokinjuvam	
667	618	Mashalchi	Maryam	
668	619	Munshi	Ranjundiah	
669	620	Bandman	Aide Silavah	
670	621	Do	Desidavah	
671	622	Do	Thomas	
			Washermen &c	
672	627	Dobhi	Rungah	Dobhi
673	628	Do	Dhana Singh	Do
674	629	Do	Nunjah	Do
675	630	Jhadamali	Venuta	Vakligar

rought forward —			5616	"	"	
ovr: 1883	8	4	6	"	"	July 1889
ovr: 1883	8	4	6	"	"	Do "
Do "	8	4	6	"	"	Do "
uly 1885	6	8	6	"	"	Do "
ovr: 1883	8	4	5	"	"	April 1887
any: 1885	7	2	5	"	"	Do "
uly 1885	6	8	5	"	"	April 1887
Do "	6	8	5	"	"	April 1887
ril 1887	4	11	5	"	"	July 1889
Do "	4	11	5	"	"	Do "
Do "	4	11	5	"	"	Do "
Do "	4	11	5	"	"	Do "
Do "	4	11	5	"	"	Do "
Do "	4	11	4	"	"	Do "
uly 1889	2	8	3	"	"	
Do "	2	8	2	8	"	
Do "	2	8	2	"	"	
Do "	2	8	2	"	"	
Do "	2	8	2	"	"	
Do "	2	8	2	"	"	
arch 1886	6	0	5	"	"	April 1887
ctr: 1885	6	5	6	"	"	
pter: 1891	0	8	10	"	"	
Do "	0	8	10		"	
arch 1892	0	0	10		"	
ug: 1883	8	7	3		"	
ovr: 1877	14	4	3			
Do	14	4	3			
eby: 1881	11	1		8		
otal Rupees		—	5757	8	"	

30/7/19

mysore

Accountant

(General Serial No. 30.)

1892.

From

The Chief Secretary
to the Govt of Mysore.

To The Compt^r to the Govt
of Mysore
" Palace Controller.
Murroy Secretary

Dated 29th Aug/92

Despatched

Received

Enclosures No.

General No. 3191-2 1/2
No. R.7.137-92

Forwarding to the Compt^r to the Mysore Govt., for necessary adjustment of past expenditure & due provision in the Military & Mysrai Budgets for 1892-93, the Schedules showing the Cost of the Palace Barr & other establishments & the expenditure on account of religious & charitable endowments for 1892-93.

References to be quoted

No 3191-2½ d. 29/8
R.T. 137-92
Encl. 2

Read:—

(5)

Letter No 28 Dy 31st July 92 from the Palace Controller submitting Budget Estimate for the Palace Militaryes Dept & Religious & charitable Grants for the Year 1892/3

Order Thereon.

In Govt Progs Camp No 174-96 Dy 21st July 91, the following items of Palace charges were directed to be transferred to the State Budget with effect from 1st June 91

a) Palace Band, Saluting Battery, Body Guard & Bun including the Establishment Thereof costing Rs 67,840 per annum.

b) Certain Religious and Charitable Endowments costing Rs 20,460 per

2. As per Schedules Submitted with the Controller's letter read above, the Cost of

(General Serial No. 30.)

189

From

The Chief Secretary
to the Dewan of Mysore.

To

Dated

Despatched

Received

Enclosures No.

General No.
No.

Barr & other Establishments chargeable to the State Military Budget is Rs 69,090 per Annum or 1250 more than what was sanctioned in the order quoted above.

3. There is likewise an increase of Rs 60 under the head "Charitable Endowments" (Item (b) above), the total Expenditure being increased from Rs 20,460 to Rs 20520.

4. The Schedules will be forwarded to the Comptroller in Continuation of the previous Orders on the subject for the necessary adjustment of Cash Expenditure, and due provision in the Military & Surgery Budgets for the Year 1892-93.

Mysore 25/8/92

To the Comptroller in Mysore with the Schedules

The Controller, Palace Bills.

Mysore Secretary

References to be marked.

RPK & Allen
27/12

Submit with memo: in continuation for orders.

27/12/92

Orderly
29/1

Lt Col D'Oyly Dewan
The Comptr may see
with that the charges
are not debitable to
the civil List

18/9

(General Serial No 30)

OFFICE CENTRE
1892.6876
27 DEC 92
R178

From The Palace Controller
Mysore

To The Chief Secretary
to the Dewan of Mysore
Bangalore

Dated 24th
Received 25th } December

Enclosures No. Nil

General No. 57
No.

Sir

With reference to Govern-
-ment Proceedings No 3191-2
R.T. 137-92
dated 29th August 1892,
sanctioning the transfer of
only Rs 69090/- being cost-
of Palace Military Esta-
-blishment for 12 months fr
1st June/91 to 1st June 92,
I have the honor to reques
to be informed whether the
other items such as dress
feed of horses and Contin
-cies are not transferable

Drafted
for 25/9/92

In Memo No. 5537-40
R7137-92
28/9/92

from the Civil List to the Government India Department.

2. The actual expenditure incurred in the upkeep of the Palace Barr for 13 months from the 1st of June 1891 to 30th June 1892 was Rs 80944-14-10 as per abstract herewith submitted.

3. As regards the other item "Religious and charitable Grants" the expenditure was 21,800 Rs for the same thirteen months.

4. Request therefore that orders may be issued to the Comptroller and Bungroy Department for necessary adjustment.

I have the honor to be
Sir
Your most obedient Servant
E W Morgan
Palace Controller
CR

For 13 months viz from June 1891 to June 1892

I. Religious and Charitable grants.

To Subbraya Dass Temple at Hosa Agrahar Mysore	[illegible]
" Kasi Sesharama Shastri for Sundry Temples at Banaras	[illegible]
" Endowments to the Temple constructed by Mr. R Chatterjeeman Iyer, late Deputy Commissioner of Palace Establishments	[illegible]
" Subbramanya Lala son of Devanath Lala for feeding the Byragis at Sadeberth Chattram Doddapett, Mysore ..	[illegible]
" a Temple constructed by Mrs. Kachelan Byraya at the foot of the Chamundi Hill	[illegible]
" Roman Catholic Church at Mysore	[illegible]
" Maintenance allowance to the Maharaja's Chattram for the month of 189	[illegible]
" Sringeri Matt	[illegible]
Encouragement to Sanskrit Learning	[illegible]

Total [illegible]

Palace Office,
[illegible] 189 .

(General Serial No. 30.)

1893 .

9

From

The Chief Secretary to the Dewan of Mysore.

To The Comptr to the Govt of Mysore
" Palace Controller
" Military Secretary
" Secry. Muzrai Deptt:

Dated 20th Sept: 193

Despatched

Received

Enclosures No.

General No. 5537-40
No. R.T.137-92.

Forwarding to the Comptr to the Govt of Mysore, for adjustment, the Statement received from the Palace Controller showing the particulars of expenditure for the Palace Barr during the 13 months from 1st June 1915 30th June 1916, with the intimation that the actual charges under the heads "Palace Barr" & "Religious and charitable grants" should be debited to the Military & Muzrai Deptts: respectively —

Read,

Govt Pro No 3191-2 &
R.F 13792

29th August 1892 ordering the transfer to the State Budget of Rs 690901- being the cost of the Palace Military Establishment for 12 months from 1st June 1891 to 31st June 1892.

Also letter No. 57 & 24 August December 1892 from the Palace Controller, Jeypore.

Order thereon.

With reference to the Proceedings readable the Palace Controller enquires whether the other items due

No 5537-40 / R.F.137-92 D/20/9/93 / 23

Sec 1.

as dress, feeding of horses and contingencies, are not transferable from the Civil List to Government Military Department, and states that the actual expenditure (including the above mentioned items) incurred in the upkeep of the Palace Barr for the 13 months from 1st June 1891 to 30th June 1892 is Rs 8094-14-10 and that the expenditure under the item Religious & charitable grants for the same period amounts to Rs 21809

The Statement giving particulars of Expenditure regarding the Palace Barr, submitted by the Palace Controller is forwarded for adjustment by the Comptroller to the Mepore Government who will be informed that the actual charges referred to by the Palace Controller, are not debitable to the Civil List, but are debitable to the Military & Muzarai Departments respectively.

20 Feb

Chief Secy

Note

Mr. Cox of the Statement to be returned.

A.H. attended to.

W. 23/2.

Mr. Comptroller Please send with the Statement
The Palace Controller's Copy to the Military Secy } for info.
do - - Mazarai Secy }

Palace Infantry, Artillery and Body Guard including the Band.

S/no	Designation	As it stood in June 1790				As it stood on 1st April 1792				Comparison Increase				Comparison Decrease				Remarks
1	Bukshi	1	300	"	"	1	300	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	
2	Officer.																	
	Asst Bukshi	1	40	"	"	1	40	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	
	Shiristadar	1	36	"	"	1	36	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	
	Gymnastas	3	48	"	"	4	47	"	"	"	"	"	"	1	"	"	"	
	Peons	4	18	"	"	4	18	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	
	Marshalchi	1	3	8	"	1	3	8	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	
	Dhobi	1	4	8	"	1	4	8	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	
		11	150	"	"	12	149	"	"	"	"	"	"	1	"	"	"	
3	Barr Force Commandt	1	55	"	"	1	55	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	
	Majors	2	50	"	"	2	50	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	
	Subadars	8	156	"	"	8	160	"	"	4	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	
	Jamadars	16	216	"	"	16	216	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	
	Havildars	28	252	"	"	32	294	"	"	39	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	
	Naik	21	248	"	"	32	258	"	"	10	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	

Sepoys	387	2454	"	"	371	2411	.	.	41	.	.	.	.	.		
Sweepers	1	3	8	"	1	3	8	"	"	.	.	.	.	.		
Dhobis	3	10	8	"	3	10	8	"	.	.	.	.	.	.		
Temply Sepoy	1	6	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	6	.	.		
Probationers	2	15	.	.	15	52	.	.	37	.	.	.	.	.		
	480	3644	.	.	501	3575	.	.	137	.	.	6	.	.		
Buglers	4	28	.	.	4	28	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.		
Body Guard																
Commandant	1	200	.	.	1	200	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.		
Jamadar	1	20	.	.	1	20	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.		
Havildars	2	30	.	.	2	30	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.		
Naiks	6	78	.	.	8	102	.	.	24	.	.	.	.	.		
Sepoys Sawars (mounted)	32	330	8	.	25	271	8	.	.	.	.	59	.	.		
Foot Sepoys	9	63	.	.	12	78	.	.	15	.	.	.	.	.		
Pakalys (with Recruits)	1	8	.	.	1	8	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.		
Chowdri	1	7	.	.	1	7	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.		
Syces	12	72	.	.	14	80	.	.	8	.	.	.	.	.		
Kaul Nals	2	8	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	8	.	.		
Chungalis	1	2	8	.	1	2	8	.	.	.	.	.	.	.		
	68	819	.	.	66	799	.	.	47	.	.	67	.	.		
Golandauze																
Havildar	1	12	.	.	1	12	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.		
Sepoys	24	158	.	.	24	158	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.		

Band												
Bandmaster	1	180	. .	1	150	. .	. .	. .	30	. .		
Fiddler	1	40	.	1	40	. .	.	.	.	. .		
Pavildars	2	40	. .	2	40	. .	.	.	.	. .		
Naiks & Lancenaiks	4	58	. .	4	58	. .	.	.	.	. .		
Bandmen	55	418	8 .	56	437	8 .	19	. .	.	. .		
Do — Apprentices	3	6	. .	-	-	. .	-	. .	6	. .		
Nakkalchi	.	.	. .	1	5	. .	5	. .	.	. .		
Munshi		"	" .	1	6	. .	6	. .	.	. .		
	66	742	8 .	66	736	8 .	30	. .	36	. .		
Grand Total	655	5653	8 .	675	5757	8 .	214	. .	110	. .	Net increase Rs 104 per mensem or Rs. 1248 per annum.	

(8)

Memo

Palace Controller's letter No. 28 dated 31st July 1892 -

In Pro: Camp No 194-96 dated 21st July 1891, the following items of Palace charges were directed to be transferred to the State Budget with effect from the 1st June 1891 and the Palace Controller was asked to submit detailed schedules of these items: -

(a). Palace Barr, Saluting Battery, Body guard & Band, including the Establishment thereof costing Rs 67,840 per annum.

(b) Certain religious & charitable Endowments costing Rs 20,460 per annum.

2. The Palace Controller has not submitted the Schedules called for. According to these Schedules, the cost of the Barr and other Establishments chargeable to the State Military Budget is Rs 69,09

per annum or Rs 1250
more than what was mentioned
in the order of 21st July/91
The items of increase
are shown in the accom
-panying comparative
Statements.

3. There is likewise
an increase of Rs. 60.
under the head of charitable
Endowments (Item (b) above),
the Expenditure being increased
from Rs 20460 to Rs 20520.

4. Both the Schedules
may be sent to the Comptroller
in continuation of ~~para~~
the orders of 21st July 1891
for the necessary adjustment
of past expenditure
and provision in the
Nilgiri and Murzarai
Budgets for the current
year 1892-93, in accordance
with the Budget Estimates submitted by the Controller

24/8/92

Serial No 7

No 57 of 24/12/92

5. The Palace Controller now enquires whether other items, such as dress, feeding of horses, and contingencies are not transferable from the Civil List to the Government Military Dept. and gives as follows, the actual expenditure for 13 months from June 1891 to July 92:

vide Statement

Military	£ 80,944 : 14 : 10
Charitable	21,800

He requests that orders may be issued to the Comptroller for the necessary adjustment of the above items.

6. It is presumed that all charges connected with the Barr and Saluting Battery should be charged to the State Military Departt. ~~accordingly~~

If the Palace Controller had any doubts ~~on that~~ in the matter he should have made a reference to Government in ~~his statement submitted with~~ his No 28 of 31/7/92.

7 It is to be still submitted

that the Statements now
submitted by the Palace Controller
may be forwarded to the
Comptroller of Mysore
for adjustment and the fact
of the extra expenditure of Rs 13134-14-10 now reported to have been incurred
communicated to the
Military and Mysore
Secretaries for information
~~as also the detailed Schedule~~
~~of E.D.~~ Submitted for orders

J. D.
27/1/93.

(General Serial No. 31)

1896

G/187/96

From The Palace Controller
5-209 Mysore

To The Secretary
to the Government of Mysore
Revenue & General Departments
Bangalore

Dated 16th
Despatched 20 } December
Received

Enclosure Number. /

General No. 16
No.

Sir,

I have the honor to forward herewith a copy of the letter camp No 1 dated 26th October 1896 from the Military Secretary to the Government of Mysore suggesting some proposals regarding the Palace Body Guard and to request that you will be so good as to place the subject before

Suba & Dewan P
Portsmouyearlgodes
18-12-96

Central Jail Press, Bangalore.—19-2-95.—5,002.

before the Dewan for early orders as the file is with the Dewan

I have the honor to be
Sir
Your most obedient s

D S Stanislaus
Palace Controller

Comptd

(General Serial No. 31.)

1896.

From The Military Secretary
to the Government of Mysore

To The Palace Controller
Mysore

Dated 26 Camp Mysore
Despatched October
Received

Enclosures Number.

General No.
Camp No. 1

Sir,

I have the honor to inform you that at my inspection of the Body Guard horses this morning, I noted 4 as fit to be cast. They should however be kept in the ranks till their places are taken by other recruits, which will arrive in a month or so.

2 I would take this opportunity of suggesting that early orders might be obtained

Central Jail Press, Bangalore.—19-2-95.—5,002.

on my proposals regarding The Body Guard.
are several men in it who are absolutely useless
for even foot duty. Others are still drawing —
Rupees 5/- or 6/- pay, whereas recent recruits
I hear, are drawing Rupees 9/- per mensem.

3 With a few improvements in this and other
matters, the Body Guard can easily be made
into a small corps d'élite.

I have the honor to be
Sir
Your most obedient Servant
(Sd.) A. H. Macintyre Lieut &
Military Secretary

"Copy"

W. S. Hammerton
Palace Controller

Mysore,
10 November 1897

My dear Sir,

Your letter was received by me at about 3 P.M. today, and I hasten to enclose the 2 orders issued by me under H.H. the Maharaja — Regent's Commands to the Resident of Seringapatna.

You will perceive that H H

wished to get performed in the
temple at Seringapatna certain
services which are usual at
this time of the year, viz Tulâ
mâsam, and that there was
nothing objectionable in the
or likely to endanger the
Municipal Dept. His principal reason

for the Palace to ask the Talukh officials to cause such services performed on special occasions in temples directly under the Huzur Deptt and pay for them. This practice has been closely followed on the present occasion.

With kind regards,

Yours sincerely,

V. Nandakumar

XIII

Memo

Certain official Correspondence which I have been shown that there has been recently some departure on the part of H.H. the Maharaja Regent from a well recognised and useful Rule of official practice I have pointed this out to him in private conversation - The Rule I refer to is that the Palace Controller should work in his correspondence with Officers of Depts other than the Palace, communicate to those Officers any orders of H.H. the Maharaja Regent - The reason of the Rule is obvious - The instances in which this Rule has been discarded from I may mention,

1) The communication to the Dy Commissioner of Mysore of a direction for the expenditure of Rs 1000 at the Bathing Ghats and for the matter being placed before the Municipal Board for such Carriage Rs

2) Communications to the

Vilas officers of directions
in regard to the insanitary
condition of the Tank at
Chamundi, & Police protection
to the Chamundi Temple,
& 3) Communications to
the Huzarai Supdt. of
directions for the grant of
money & rice allowances to
certain Brahmins and Balla
to a Sepoy Guard, the
continuance of certain
Temple honors to the
Parakalaswami, &c.

(ii) These departments are
very inexpedient as general
grounds and the heads
of the Huzarai Depts:
should refrain to — or
know of them at least —
any subject unlikely have
to be submitted by me to
His Highness again with
a statement of relevant
facts. That of the Palace
Conditions actions in the
Huzarai Matters under
existing two peculiarly
inappropriate for a

evidently from a consideration of the fact, that his functions in regard to the Hungarian Institutions in his Government are similar to, but certainly not higher than, those of any other Hungarian officer as regards institutions in his District. From this follows the Palatine's controlling in Hungarian matters & the control by way of advice and suggestion generally, as in the case of the Chancellor, of the Hungarian Staff &c and his subordination in such matters to the Hungarian Department of the Govt:-

Her Highness' guidance of this Govt: generally - & particular institutions should naturally be sought through the channel of the Palatine - He has been used to return

I have always been wary of the opinion that the Sovereign should take a special interest in all

Hungarian Institutions
particularly in those of
Hungary. His late Highness
used to call the Hungarian
Diet: to watch before
him periodically to report
the general working of
the Diet: and to obtain
orders in matters of
importance. I should
be very glad if the
Highness will direct the
regular continuance of
such a System. In
addition to the many
direct advantages of
such a System, it would
have the effect of
confining the Palatine
Controller to his legitimate
duties of a Hungarian
Dist. Officer — Matters of
Special importance to the
Emperor & H. H. & this
Continue to do so.

(IV) Austrian Matters to
which I wish to refer
to the Austrian College at
Ingolstadt — The Palatine

been the President of
a Managing Committee.
I am informed that
Mr. Narasimha Iyengar
has written to repudiate
that he holds such an
office — the Council of
Course cannot compel him to
accept an honorary
appointment of this kind,
but if he is not President
he has no other locus Standi
in the Sanskrit College,
for no Hungarian office
as such is recognised as
having any thing to do with
a special institution like
the Sanskrit College. And
yet I understand Mr.
Narasimha Iyengar has
given some orders about
Scholarships &c. They are
ultra vires and so far as
I can judge not
justifiable on the merits.

(V) I am really anxious
that Mr. Narasimha Iyengar's

position should be
a high one locally, but in
he should on his part
be careful not to
transcend beyond his powers.

VII) I should of course
gladly receive from the
Palace Controller or any
other officer posterior
Her Highness confidences,
lists of matters in which
Her Highness wishes that
action should be taken
in the proper Depts or
that I should take up
her for discussion and
decision. But the
Palace Controller should
not write to officers,
outside the Palace, to
communicate to them
Her Highness' orders, things
of course he may write
to them when necessary, in
ordinary matters to ask
for any help he may
require for the proper
discharge of his own

duties. A definite
understanding of this kind
authoritatively laid down
will prevent all further
trouble. Recent occurrences
show that it is much
wanted.

Sd/ K. Sheshadri Iyer

Mysore
11 Dec 2 1897

OFFICE OF THE DEWAN OF MYSORE
RECEIVED
Date 4.99
REG. No 602
MUZRAI BRANCH

From The Palace Controller, Mysore.

To The Secretary to the Government of Mysore, Muzrai Department, Bangalore

Dated 29th April 1899

Despatched 29 April 1899

Received

General No. 577
No.

Enclosure No.

Sir,

I have the honor to inform you that a monthly grant of Rs 30/- was paid from the Palace to the Roman Catholic Priest at Mysore and charged to the Muzrai Department under orders of the Government conveyed in Proceedings No 3191-2½-R 137-92 dated 29th August 1892 and that Her Highness the Maharani

L Smith & S
to Dewan
Cl
11-5-99

This Communication from the Palace Controller is quite ultra vires -

Vide no C1-2 dt/ 15th Oct/99

XIV.

Memo

I The Palace Controller is rather addicted to acting outside his jurisdiction — as in this case.

II I wd recommend one of two courses in this case namely either send him the reply as per accompanying Draft or Let him withdraw his letter as being a Communication altogether outside his jurisdiction

III There is an important principle involved, for the power to obtain Her Highness' orders in any matter involves the duty of making a full representation to H.H. of all facts having a bearing upon the decision — I cannot believe that Mr Narsinga realizes this elementary principle —

IV A minor point involved is that the Palace Controller is not expected to Communicate H.H's wishes in such matters to the Diwan or other authority — it is desirable to define his functions.

19/5/99

To

The Palace Controller

Mysore –

Sir,

I am directed to acknowledge the receipt of your letter No. 58 dated 27th April 1899 and in reply to inform you that the intimation therein given of the additional monthly grant of Rs: 20 "to the Roman Catholic Priest" will be duly noted in this office –

I am to add

that, as it is quite
outside your jurisdic-
tion to obtain the
sanction of His Highness
the Maharaja Regent
for any addition to
the grant of Rs. 20,520
for certain Institutions;
which was sanctioned
in the marginally
noted Proceedings of
Government — which
be it noted is of the
nature of a Ready Money
Loan the Govern-
ment presume that
the grant was un-
limited in your
letter under reply is
intended to be charged
to the Palace Budget
which is the only

Jl 191-96 of 92
Jud, 1891

No. 3191-2½ dated
R 137 92
29th August 1892

Budget with which
it
you are respectfully to
deal with by your desk under the direc
orders of Her Highness.

I have &c

Secretary
"

Private.

Bang 17 Aug 87.

My dear Col Campbell

Will you very kindly read these papers, & let me know what you wd advise in the matter

The question at issue is surely not what H. H's prerogatives are but rather what the controlling functions are in matters of State. No doubt it is pleasing to some minds

to pose as the mouth piece
of Supreme power. But
unfortunately it is not
possible to recognize
such aspirations by policy
has always been to take
H. Mo orders respecting
matters of State, or to know
H. Mo wishes in particular
matters through the Privat
Secys privately, & to shape
my official action

Conduct accordingly. Before the present action of the Polish Catholic authorities here demands, and I feel convinced that this temple on his part deserves to be put down with a strong hand in the interests of the State.

Yours sincerely
B. K. Sheshadri Esq.
I am

The present matter did not go before Her Highness till we have been able to exchange our views on the Situation

Lord K.

Private

Oct 20 May 99

My dear Queen

I have always recognised the policy indicated by Her Maj. that in matters of State Her Majesty should deal direct; and in some cases through her British Secretary of State.

But the exclusion of this mode of procedure in such matters has not been clearly laid down & may appear harsh & make the Prince Consort withdraw his letter — and even the proposed draft of reply seems to assume too absolutely that he has been knowingly acting outside jurisdiction the limits of which have already been defined.

What has been the procedure hitherto — In the case of the Roman Catholic Priest — When Respectful unsuccessful applications from persons, he finally submitted a formal Memorial to Her Majesty, who has placed it in our hands & given us instructions for a precise Answer — This decision communicated to Prince Consort without the knowledge that

the original Grant has become a Church Endowment
Provided for in the State Imperial Budget.

As to Case of the Police Band which is provided for
in the State Military Budget, there has been increase
of pay and other Expenditure sometimes by the High
Chief than formerly on the Police Establishment. How
have these Sanctions been Communicated to Govt.

As to what may also have increase of Expenditure
(if any) Connected with the Police Force & Body Guard,
have dealt with.

How would it do if the Police Controller Communicates
with the State Controller for the information of Govt.

The difficulty & delicacy of the matter seems
to lie in the fact that the Highness, like the Late
Maharaja, takes a personal interest in matters
affecting the efficiency of Establishments intimately
Connected with the Police, but paid out of
State funds —

Yours very sincerely
[illegible]

Hon'ble Mr. Jenkins &c &c &c Nagpur
Private Secy to Viceroy —

Memo -

In Gen Progs Wo Camp 1894-96 & 3191-92½
R.F. 137-92

21st July 1891 and 29 Augt. 1892 respectively, the Certain Charges therefrom borne by the Palace Budget were ordered to be transferred to

Military Budget. Rs 69,090
& Mungeer Budget 20,520

Have there been any & what additions to the above figures since Augt 1892 and under what Sub items, under what authority and from what dates.

Get from the Comptroller two statements, one for Mil'y. & the other for Mungeer, specifying Each Sub Item, the amount thereof as sanctioned in Augt 1892, the subsequent addition if any to Each Item, date & authority for such addition &c -

The Military & Mungeer Depts shd. Check these statements and give me as early as possible a brief note explaining the circs under which Each addition was sanctioned

20/5/93 L.

MUZRAI

189

From

To To The Comptr Wpsth

Dated 22-5-99

Despatched

Received

General No. 1262

Muzrai F. No.

Enclosure No. /

Sir

I am ~~[redacted]~~

In forwarding herewith the copy of the Dewan's Memo. of 20th May 99 in regard to certain Mus. & Mil Pg Charges transferred from the Palace to the State Budget under orders conveyed in Pro No. C-194-6 of 21st July 99, And No 3191-2½ R 7.137-92 of 29th May



Early.

Memo.

In Government Proceed-ings Nos Camp 194-96 and 3191-2/2 Rev. 137-92 dated 21st July 1891 and 29th August 1892 respectively, certain charges there-tofore borne by the Palace Budget were ordered to be transferred to

Military Budget Rs 69,090

and

Muzeroi Budget " 20,520

Have there been any and what additions to the above figures since August 1892 and under what sub items, under what authority and from what dates!

Get from the Comptroller two statements, one for Military and the other for Muzeroi specifying each sub item, the amount thereof as sanctioned

in August 1892, the subsequent addition if any to each item, date and authority for such addition &ca.

The Military and Mugeroi Departments should check these statements and give me as early as possible a brief note explaining the circumstances under which each addition was sanctioned.

Cotacammund.
20th May 1899

S/f. K. Sheshadri Iyer

Treasury Account Department

7760

No. 2449 En/

Enclosure
(1) One Statt

OFFICE OF THE COMPTROLLER OF MYSORE,
Bangalore, 29 May 30 189

From

E. R. SUBRAYA, Esq.,
Comptroller of Mysore



To

Urgent

The Muzrai Secretary to the Government of Mysore

SIR,

As requested in your No 1262 dated 22nd Instant I have the honor to forward herewith a Statement showing the charges incurred by the Palace on account of certain Inamrai institutions and debited to Muzrai Budget from 1st June 1891 to 30th June 1898

I have the honor to be
Sir
Your most Obedient Servant

Comptroller

Statement showing Charges incurred by the Palace on account of Certain Murroi & religious institutions and debited to Murroi Budget from 1st June 1891 to 30th June 1898.

Names of Institutions	1st June 1891 to 30th June 1892	1st July 1892 to 30th June 1893	1st July 1893 to 30th June 1894	1st July 1894 to 30th June 1895	1st July 1895 to 30th June 1896	1st July 1896 to 30th June 1897	1st July 1897 to 30th June 1898	
Subbraya Dixit Temple at Hosa Agraharam Mysore	754	696	696	696	696	696	696	vide G. Pros No 3191-24 R.F. 139-92 dt 29th August 1892
Kasi Sesharatna shastri for sundry temples at Benares	260	240	240	240	240	240	240	
Temple Constructed by late Chidambaram Iyer	65	60	60	60	60	60	60	
Feeding Bhyragis at Chadarghat Bodpelli Mysore	936	864	864	864	792	864	720	
Temple at the foot of the Chamundi Hill	55	60	60	60	60	60	60	
Roman Catholic Church Mysore	390	360	360	360	360	360	360	
Maharaja's Chutram	13.000	12.000	12.000	12.000	12.000	12.000	12.000	
Sringeri Mutt	6.500	6.000	6.000	6.500 (a)	6.000	6.000	6.000	(a) Reference made to the Palace Controller about the excess payment of Rs 50. further orders awaited
Jangamwadi mutt. Benares.	340	240	260	100	20	600	240	
Temple consecrated to Chaddiah Maharaja by Sayaji Rao this Mal	"	"	"	"	120	120	120	Vide para 6 of G. Pros: No 1096-T dated 6th April 1894 Mur. F. 51-93
	22300	20.520	20.540	20.880	20.348	21.000	20496	
			Sanctioned Scale = 20520					

Comptroller

Memo.

Re. Govt. Proc. Nos. Camp 194 & 96 & 3191-22 / 27 137-92 S.

21st July 1891 and 29 augst 1892 respectively, certain charges heretofore borne by the Palace ~~Dept~~ Budget were ordered to be transferred to.

Military Budget. Rs. 69090.
___ & Mugaroa Budget " 20520.

Have there been any & what additions to the above figures since august 1892 and under what sub items under what authority and from what dates

Let from the Comptroller two Statements one for Mily. & the other for Mugaroa. Specifying each sub item. the amount thereof as sanctioned in Augt 1892, the subsequent addition if any to each item. date and authority for such additions &c

The Military & Mugaroa Dept should check their Statements. and send me as early as possible ~~and~~ a brief note explaining the circumstances under which each addition was sanctioned.

20/5/93. (S) K.S.

"Copy"

MUZRAI

189

From

To The Mukt. Ass't

Dated

Despatched

Received

General No.

Muzrai F. No.

Enclosure No.

D.O

Herein Enclose
the Original a
Memo of the 20th
Inst sent by me
to the Dewan
this day.

The Memo deals
with 2 matters

One relating to
the Municipal &
the other to the Mutt
Dept. Regarding
the former, the Comp^r has been written to
Statement esp^y for this office, this
by the Dewan Statement called by the Dewan
been called for
this office; & I
think the other
Gentlemen about
the Mutt^y charges
semi-official
him to his death
with the bye.
I request you with
kindly take a note

Mily. 25]

MILITARY ASSISTANT'S OFFICE.

No 1777
Enc 1

DATED 26th May 1899

To The Comptroller Myso

FROM

~~THE MILITARY ASSISTANT TO THE~~
~~GOVERNMENT OF MYSORE.~~

Sir

To

With reference to t
Demands Memorandum
dated 20th Instant, copy
enclosed, I have the hon
to request that you wi
kindly furnish this
Office at an early da
with the Statement
therein called for, sh
the Military expendi
under Palace, as far
in August 1892, the
subsequent additio
any made thereto, un
date and authori

for such additions

I have the

Asst Secy Navy Dept

Bangalore, 22nd May 1899

My dear Sir

Herein I enclose in original a memo dated the 20 Instant received by me from the Dewan this day.

The memo deals with 2 matters - one relating to the Muzrai Department and the other to the Military Department. Regarding the former, the Comptroller has been written to from this Office to submit the statement called for by the Dewan; and I think the other question about the Military charges

has to be similarly dealt with by you.

I request you will kindly take a note or copy of the Dewan's memo and return the original to this Office.

Yours truly
A Secretary

To,

Major R. G. Jones,
Military Assistant
to the Government of Mysore.

OFFICE OF THE DEWAN OF MYSORE
RECEIVED
Date 30-5-99
REG. No 675
MUZRAI BRANCH

Military Assistant's Office.

No. 1803
Encl: one

Dated Bangalore 30 May 1899.

To

The Secretary to the Government of Mysore
Muzaroyi Department,
Bangalore.

Sir,

I have the honor to return with thanks the Dewan's Memorandum of the 20th instant forwarded with your D.O. of the 22nd idem for note and return.

I have the honor to be
Sir,
your most obedient servant

Assistant Secretary
Military Department.

From

THE MILITARY ASSISTANT TO THE

GOVERNMENT OF MYSORE.

To

Dated

Despatched

Received

General No.

No.

Enclosure No.

From

THE MILITARY ASSISTANT TO THE
GOVERNMENT OF MYSORE.

To The Secy. to the Govt.
Muzaroyi Dept.

Dated 30 *Despatched* *Received* May 1849.

General No. 1803
No.

Enclosure No. one

Sir,

I have the honor to return with thanks the Dewan's Memorandum of the 20th instant forwarded with your D.O. of the 2nd idem for note and return.

I have c

(Sd) H. Donne.
Asst. Secy. Mily. Dept.

No 41
10-6-99.



Memo

Subject

Dewan's memo dated 20th June 1899 re- Palace Charges debitable to Military Budget.

—

With reference to the above I beg to submit herewith the statement furnished by the Comptroller showing the expenditure incurred by the Palace from 1st June 1891 to 30th June 1898, on account of the Palace Barr, Saluting Battery, Body Guard and Band and debited to the Military Budget.

The following charges were made in addition to the annual expenditure of Rs 69,090/= Sanctioned in the Proceedings of Govt No. Camp. 194-96 and 3191-2½, R7 137-92

dated 21st: July/1891 and
29th August 1892, respectively,
and admitted by the
Comptroller under the
authority conveyed in the
Pro: of Govt No 5537-40
R7. 137-92
of the 20th September 1893.

Contingent charges
for 7 years —— 7726-4-2
Expences connected
with the feed of
Body guard horses
during —do —— 25,571-12-9.
Cost for Supply
of dress to body guard
&c during - do - 45,567-4-1
Purchase of horses
and band in-
-struments during
—— do —— 30,402-15-11
Batta to Bandsmen
during - do —— 661-10-6.
Presents to -do-
during — do — 9,848-10-11

Total Rs 119,778-8-6

A charge of Rupees
4355-10-4, was also made
in 1897-98, on account
of

of pensions, which the Comptroller has accepted provisionally pending explanation from the Palace Comptroller.

A Donnel

Assistant Secretary
Military Department

Treasury Account Department No 1405
7261 A One
30-5-99

No. 2445

(Enclosure one sheet)

OFFICE OF THE COMPTROLLER OF MYSORE,
Bangalore, 29 May 1899
30

From

E. R. SUBRAYER, Esq.,
Comptroller of Mysore

To

Urgent

The Military Assistant
to the Government of Mysore

SIR,

As requested in your No 1777 dated 26 May 1899 I have the honor to forward herewith a Statement Showing the charges incurred by the Palace on account of Palace Barr Saluting Battery Body guard and Band adjusted at the end of each year and debited to Military Budget from 1 June 1891 to 30 June 1898

I have the honor to be
Sir
Your Most Obedient Servant

Comptroller

Statement showing the charges incurred by Palace on account of Palace Band, Saluting [illegible] guard and Band and debited to Military Budget from 1st June 1891 to 30th June 1898.

Particulars of expenditure	1st June 1891 to 30th June 1892	1st July 1892 to 30th June 1893	1st July 1893 to 30th June 1894	1st July 1894 to 30th June 1895	1st July 1895 to 30th June 1896	1st July 1896 to 30th June 1897	1st July 1897 to 30th June 1898	Remarks
Establishment	70343 9 9	67385 2 6	72173 9 9 (a) Br ~~67648 9 9~~	67977 8 1	66539 14 .	68215 12 1	69302 9 10	vide G.O. No 3191-2 R.F. 137-92 dated 29
Contingencies	541 14 11	855 7 5	703 13 2	1433 3 1	857 10 11	1949 4 4	1384 14 4	(a) Includes arrears of previous years Rs 45.
Feed of Horses	3948 10 10	1922 13 .	4026 6 1	3040 . .	3599 15 11	3912 11 8	5021 3 3	
Dress	2210 11 4	1945 4 7	10689 5 9	15106 11 4	5719 7 .	5562 2 3	4333 9 10	
Purchase of horses for Body guard	" .	. . .	2577 8 .					G. P. No 5537-40 R.F. 137-92 dated 20 September 18
Purchase of Band instruments	. . .	. . .	3536 9 5	9091 6 9	377 3 6	14820 4 .	. .	
Batta to Bandsmen	. . .	. . .	661 10 6					
Presents to — do —	. . .	. . .	. . .	1339 8 .	2523 . .	2654 8 .	3331 10 .	
Pensions	. . .	. . .	. . .				4355 10 4 (b)	(b) adjusted provisionally pending explanation of the Palace Controller.
Total	77044 14 10	72108 11 6	~~89843 14 8~~ 94368 14 8 Br	97988 5 3	79617 3 4	97114 10 4	87729 9 7	
Deduct — Credits on account of Sale of Horses, Band Instruments — Amount levied of charges for dress supplied to Body Sepoys recovered from them and amount — received from Sivaram Raj Bahadur on account of Sepoy Guard	" " "	" " "	789 13 .	1774 8 .	1419 6 .	1682 . .	1787 8 11	
			93579 1 8 Br					
Net Total	77044 14 10	72108 11 6	~~89054 1 8~~	96213 13 3	78197 13 4	95432 10 4	85942 . 8	
Sanctioned Budget Provision	83000 . .	83000	83000	83000 . .	83000 . .	83000 . .	83000 . .	

[illegible]
Palace Controller

Memo.

The Roman Catholic Church at Mysore.

This Church was endowed with a monthly cash grant of Rs 30/- by His Highness the Maharaja Krishnaraja Wodayar III, so far back as 1836; and for many years, the grant was being paid from His Highness' private treasury. During the settlement of the Palace affairs in the year 1868, Mr. L. Bowring, the then Commissioner of Mysore, proposed to the Government of India that the grant to the Church might be stopped altogether on payment of some gratuity; but the Government of India thought it expedient to continue the monthly grant. Thereupon this monthly grant of Rs 30/- to the Church continued to be paid out of the Palace Funds till June 1891, when, under orders conveyed in Government Proceedings No. $\frac{3191}{R.F.137-92}$ - 2/2, dated 29th August 1892, the charge was transferred to the State Muzrai Budget, along with a few similar grants to certain

other religious and Charitable Institutions at Mysore; and, since the issue of the aforesaid order of Government, the monthly allowance of Rs 30/- to the Church is being provided for in the Muzrai Budget

Now, the Palace Controller in a letter to this Office No 58, dated 27th April 1899, states that Her Highness the Maharani Regent has been pleased to raise the monthly grant from Rs 30/- to Rs 50/-, with effect from 1st March 1899; but the letter is silent as to from what funds the additional grant, viz, Rs 20/- a month, is proposed to be paid — whether from the State Muzrai Funds or the Palace Civil dist. The Palace Controller's letter is herewith submitted for orders.

for Secretary

17 - 5 — 99

Inspt

MUZRAI

189

From

To

The Palace Controller
Mysore.

Dated 22nd

Despatched 22nd May 1899

Received

General No. 1261

Muzrai F. No.

Enclosure No.

Sir,

In Govt Rs: Nos C. 194-6 and 3191-2½ Muzt. 137-92 dated 21st July 1891 and 29th August 1892 respectively certain Muzrai charges heretofore borne by the Palace Budget and amounting to Rs 20,520/- were ordered to be transferred to the State Muzrai Budget.

2. I am now to enquire whether there have been any additions to the above charges since Aug 1892, and if so, under what items and under what authority

and from what dates—

3. A very early reply is requested.

I have &c

[signature]

Navy Secy.

OFFICE OF THE DEWAN OF MYSORE
RECEIVED
Date 26.6.99.
REG No 751
MUZRAI BRANCH

From

1899

The Palace Controller,
Thrissur

To

The Secretary to the
Government of Mysore,
Muzrai Department,
Bangalore.

Dated

Despatched 23rd / 24 June 1899

Received

General No. 64
No.

Enclosure No.

26-6-99

Sir,

In reply to your letter no. 1261 dated 22nd ultimo enquiring whether any and what additions have been made to certain charges transferred to State Muzrai Budget under Government Proceedings No: C 194-6 and 3191-2½ Ser F 137-92 dated 21st July 1891 and 29th August 1892 respectively, I have the honour to state that only two additions have been made since

viz. (1) A new grant of Rs 120/- a year to Sanjivaraj Hindu Temple at the north gate of the Mysore Fort.

(2) An addition of Rs 240/- to the annual grant of Rs 360/- endowed to the Roman Catholic church in Mysore.

2. As regards the former, the Government, in para 6 of their Proceedings No: 1096-7 Mung. 7. 51-93 dated 6th April 1899, have directed the stoppage of the payment of the allowance to the temple pending a report of the circumstances connected with the grant. On this point, I have only to report that the payment of the allowance to the temple was first ordered by my predecessor Mr. D. Sitaramarao on the 3rd June 1895 under sanction of Her Highness the Maharani-Regent, which should have been but was not reported to Government at the time

3. As regards the increased grant to the Roman Catholic church, the circumstances have been already reported in this office letter No: 58 dated 27th April 1899.

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant

M Varadarajaiengar

Palace Controller.

1899

FROM The Comptroller,
Government of Mysore.

To
The Palace Comptroller

Dated 19th
Despatched 23 } September
Received
General No. 1600
Sr II No. 474
Enclosure No.



In lieu thereof
for Dewan for
In for

C/
23-9-99

With reference to his No. 20 dated 13th instant forwarding 2 statements of charges incurred on account of the Palace Barr, Saluting Battery, Body Guard, and the Religious and Charitable Institutions, during 1898-99, has the honor to state that of the sum of Rs 20,916/- claimed on account of the latter, only Rs 20,736/- have been credited to Palace, the difference of Rs 180/- being disallowed for the following reasons

(a) Rs 60/- the amount sanctioned by Government on account of the Roman Catholic Church at Mysore in Government Pro No. 3191-2 R7137-92 dated 29th August 1892 is Rs 360/- and not Rs 420/- as claimed. ——

120/- Allowance to the temple consecrated to Chendroymoulleswara by Sanyevaru Urs at the North Gate Fort, Mysore— Payment objected by Government in Pro No. 1096-97 dated 6th April 1899 Mis 757-93

Total Rs 180

The Sum of Rs 87,793. 13. 11 claimed on account of Palace Barr &c. has been adjusted provisionally pending orders of Government.

(60)
No. L 759

(Signed) E R Subraiya
Comptroller

Copy forwarded to the Mysore Secretary for information.

E Subraiya
Comptroller

Mysore,
28 Sept 1899

My dear Secretary & Chaplain,

I have got the schedules of the revision ready with covering letters, &c. But before despatching them, I must submit them. So there will be a little delay. I hope however they will be out of hand before the Durbar begins.

I remain
Yours sincerely,

8th October 1899.

My dear Narasimha Ayengar,

I received the Tadik Patti of the Sri Prasanna Krishnaswami Temple which you sent me the other day; but I have not yet received the one relating to the Hill Temples.

You say in your D.O that you have to submit these first to Her Highness. With reference to this, I am directed to state that if there should be any matters therein requiring Her Highness' orders, the Dewan will

them in due course and obtain Her Highness' orders You may at present send the Jasdik Patties as early as possible with a note of your own opinion.

Yours Sincerely

A L

Despatched from camp Mysore, 10-10-99.

Note

Palace Controller's letter to Government in the Muzrai Department, N° 58, dated 27th April 1899, intimating that Her Highness the Maharani Regent has been pleased to raise the Muzrai Allowance to the Roman Catholic Church at Mysore from Rs 30 to Rs 50 a month with effect from 1st March 1899.

With reference to the above, there was some demi-official correspondence between the Dewan and Colonel J A Campbell, Private Secretary to Her Highness the Maharani Regent. These papers are separately filed enclosed in a sealed cover marked "Confidential." Prior to my departure to Mysore for the Dasara, I had an interview with the Dewan on the 9th October 1899. The Dewan then gave me personal instructions to issue a formal Government Order raising the Muzrai grant

Order issued accordingly (Vide Pro: N°C1-2 d 15th Oct 99).

of the Roman Catholic Church
from Rs 30 to Rs 50 a month
with effect from 1st March 1899.

I also laid before the
Dewan a D. O, dated
from the Palace Controller, stating
that he had prepared a revised
Jasdik Patti for the Sri Prasanna
Krishnaswami temple at
Mysore and that he would
forward the same to Government
after submitting it to Her
Highness the Maharani Regent.
With reference to this, the Dewan
observed that it was beyond
the jurisdiction of the Palace
Controller to obtain the orders
of Her Highness on such subjects,
that the Palace Controller
should have simply sub-
mitted the question to Govern-
ment with his own opinion
and that if there were any
points requiring the orders of
Her Highness, such orders would
be obtained by the Dewan himself.
The Dewan also added that
the Palace Controller might be
written to in the foregoing terms D.O accordingly written
in reply to his D.O. under
advertence

Order.

No C. 1-2
D/15th Oct 1899
(Camp Ellysore)

Her Highness the Maharani Regent has been pleased to raise the Muzrai grant to the Roman Catholic Church at Ellysore from Rs 30- to Rs 50- a month with effect from the 18th March 1899.

2. The Comptroller to the Govt of Ellysore will be requested to provide for the increased grant above sanctioned in the Muzrai Budget and likewise to pass

the payment of the
arrears of the increased
grant due to the
Institution from
the 1st March 1899

C.V

Mrs. Lecy:

To, The Comptr. Mysore Govt.
Palace Controller



Mang. Secy

In regard to Parakala Swamy's
charge to Rangaraj Bahr. Chattram
I have asked E.E. Madhava Sastri
Pesh. of Comm. of Management of the
Chattram — to Comm. of the P. make
the Chattram available for the
proposed use, in Comm. with
the Palace Controller — I have left
them to decide what part of
Chattram is really wanted.
In lieu of this the Comm.
may have the management
of Sita Vilas Chattram, using it
for purposes for which Mang. Chattram
was being used — I have said to M. Sastry
Please see the matter is duly
settled in due Course.

Please issue orders at once
sanctioning the allowance to
the Jnana temple in Ent
(Some Lrs.), which H. H

worked to the queen -

H.H. has graciously consented to grant you Monthly interviews on Mauritius matters - and H.H. agrees that Mauritius matters above the Palace Controller's powers as M. Mr. Shilcock submitted to Govt. Then, after taking my views, you can personally submit to H.H., when I am myself unable to do so -

19/11/99

Read:—

Para 2 of letter No
64 dy 23rd June 99
from the Palace Controller
Mysore reporting with
ref:e to para 6(i) of
the Govt order No
1096-I dy 6th April 99,
Inter F51-93

the Circ:e under
which a monthly
allce of Rs 10 was
being paid to the
Sanjiva Raj Urs's
Temple at the
North Gate of the
Mysore Fort.

Order thereon
The Govt are—

F82-3 dy 24 Nov 99
F51-93

pleased to sanction
the continuance
~~of the payment~~
of the allowance
of Rs 10 a month
to the Vaniyan Raj
Hosur's Temple at the
N. Gate of the Mysore
Fort with effect
from the date from
which the payment
was suspended.
under the Govt
Order referred to
in the paper read
above
2- The Comptroller
will be requested to
provide for the ~~grant~~
in the Muzrai Budget

I
Mr. Muir Secy

To The Palace Commissioner
Mysore
The Comptroller

XXII

Jaypore
23rd March
1870

My dear Bevan

Mr Rajnees has had under consideration
the past & present Management of the Muzzi
Institutions connected with the Palace -

On opening of the Correspondence noted in
the Margin Mr Rajnees observes that
in the Quarter 1870 of the Management
of these Institutions from the State
Muzzi Deposit & the Palace, no
heed or the least Ground that
the Temples "or the Religious
Institutions of the Maharaja,
rather than of Sincere Public
interest"; and that in Case of these the
family Religious services are frequently Conducted.

The only Stipulation made then or at any
time was that accounts of Expenditure
be rendered, which Expenditure was to be
limited to the funds available -

To & From the
Lieut Col Ch. Comm
No 27 dt 21 May 69
No 39 dt 7th June 69
170 dt 4th Nov 70
167 dt 11 Nov 70
44 dt 17 May 71

The Management has continued on these lines from 1870 to 1891 when the Calcutta Municipality took the Cost of Police Magisterial Institutions which hitherto Worthy out from State funds; But no Change in the Management has occurred.

The same system has accordingly pursued till 1899 when various irregularities on the part of the predecessor of the present Auditor was discovered; and for the purpose of adjusting the accounts consequent on these irregularities the payment of a large sum of money was sanctioned by Govt.

It is since the year 1899 that the business has departed from the proceedings of the Municipality, that there is a distinct tendency to revert to the Custom which obtained previous to the year 1870, by placing in the hands

of the Principle securing the powers and the discretion which for nearly 30 years have exercised by the Police Authorities under the Circular, in many years, £400. The late Maharaja.

The mismanagement appears to have taken place at the time of the late minister, but as the Highness has entire confidence in the present Minister, the Lords feel anxious at being apprised of his help in the active re-organisation of institutions in which the Lords & Council of Personal Religious interest.

The Highness desires me to say that he has a special desire that no change should take place during the term of the Regency; but that he may be able to exercise more himself and transmit hereafter to his son the same powers of direction & control

over Polar Regions appears through the
Polar Constellation, as seen exercised by his
Father the late Archduke through the same
Channel -

Her Highness feels sure of your sympathy
with her in the feelings which she also
desires me to express.

Yours very sincerely

Frances Mc

23rd March 1900

My dear Dewan,

H H has had under consideration the past and present management of the Muzrai Institutions connected with the Palace. On referring to the correspondence noted in the margin, H H observes that the transfer in 1870 of the management of these institutions from the State Muzrai Deptt to the Palace was based on the broad ground that the temples "are the religious institutions of the Maharaja rather than of general public interest"; and that in some of them "the family religious services are frequently neglected". The only stipulation made then or at any time was that the accounts of expenditure should be rendered, which expenditure was to be limited by the funds available.

The management was continued on these lines from 1870 to 1871 when the late Maharaja ruled that the cost of the Palace Muzrai Institutions should be wholly met from State funds. But no change in the management was ordered.

The same system was accordingly pursued till 1893 when various irregularities on the part of the predecessor of the present Controller were discovered.

a/c From the Govt to the Chief Commr of Mysore
To No. 27 dt 21-5-67
" 39 dt 7-6-67
" 170 dt 7-11-70
From No. 167 dt 11-11-70
do - 44 dt 17-5-71

purpose of adjusting the accounts consequent on these irregularities, the payment of a large sum of money was sanctioned by Govt.

It is since the year 1899 that H H has observed from the proceedings of the Muzrai Secretary, that there is a distinct tendency to resort to the customs which obtained previous to the year 1870, by placing in the hands of the Muzrai Secretary the powers and the discretion which for nearly 30 years were exercised by the Palace authorities under the direct orders, for many years, of H H the late Maharaja.

The mismanagement referred to above took place in the time of the late Controller, but as H. H has entire confidence in the present Controller, she feels great concern at being deprived of his help in the active and direct management of Institutions in which she takes a deep and personal religious interest.

H H desires me to say that she has a special desire that no change should take place during the term of her Regency, so that she may be able to exercise now herself and transmit hereafter to her son, the same powers of direction and control over Palace Muzrai affairs through the Palace Controller as were exercised by his father, the late Maharaja through the same channel

H H feels sure of your sympathy with her in the feelings which she has

directed me to express.

Yours very sincerely

/s/ J. A. Campbell

XXIII

Note by the Muzrai Secretary.

Col: J. A. Campbell's
D O. dated 23rd March
1900 regarding the
management of the
Palace Muzrai Institutions.

The originals of the correspondence referred to in the above have been traced out from the records and are put up herewith. From these it will be seen that the management of the Palace Muzrai Institutions was formerly in the hands of the Muzrai Officer of the Mysore District and was transferred to the Guardian in the Year 1870

14574

To Secretary

Please note
enquiries in file about
how time does on
the letter copy sent
Cecil.

BH
26/9/1902

Mysore
26 Apr 1900

My dear Sir

This is a Copy of the letter about
Peter Murphy Upjohn which
I said I would send you.

I have not yet — now (so far
as I know) has Sir V. Chirol
your Correspondent on the subject since the date
of the letter (17 March 1900)

Yours sincerely
Macdonald

Rt. Hon. Thomas Raleigh &c &c &c

Mysore
27 9. 00

My dear Sir,

Your note of yesterday with its enclosure dated 17th March 1900, in re management of Palace Mazra Institutions. I have just now spoken to Mr. Srinivasa Charlu, the Mazra Secretary, who tells me that the matter appears to have been placed before Her Highness by the Dewan, and that, under the orders of the latter he (Mazra Sec^{y}) is adhering to the present system. When I next pay my respects to Her Highness I will talk over the matter & let you know

Yours Sincerely,

S^{r}. J. R. A. Thumboo Chetty

17th March 1900

1 C&M Vo

My Dear Dewan.

Her Highness has had under Consideration the past and present management of the Muzrai Institutions connected with the Palace. On referring to the Correspondence noted in the margin H. H. observes that the transfer in 1870 of the Management of those institutions from the State Muzrai Department to the Palace, was based on the broad ground that the Temples "are the religious institutions of the Maharaja rather than of general public interest"; and that in some of them "the family religious Services are frequently rendered." The only Stipulation made then as to money time was that accounts of expenditure should be rendered, which expenditure was to be limited by the Funds available

and from the Secretary to the Chief Commissioner of Mysore
- 27 & 21st May 69
39 & 7th June 69
170 & 7th Nov 70
from No 167 & 11th Nov 70
44 & 17th May 71.

The management was continued on these lines from 1870 to 1891 when the late Maharaja ruled that the Cost of the Palace Muzrai

Institutions should be wholly met fro
State funds. But no change in the management
was ordered.

The Same System was accordingly
pursued till 1899 when various irregularities
on the part of the predecessor of the present Controller
were dis-covered, and for the purpose of adjusting
the accounts consequent on these irregularities
the payment of a large sum of money was sanctioned
by Government.

It is since the year 1899 that H. H. has
observed from the proceedings of the Muzrai
Secretary, that there is a distinct tendency
to resort to the Custom which obtained previous
to the year 1870; by placing in the hands of
the Muzrai Secretary the powers and the dis-
cretion which for nearly 30 years were exercised
by the Palace Authorities under the direct orders,
for many years, of H. H. the late Maharaja

The mis-management referred to above
took place in the time of the late Controller
but as H. H. has entire confidence in the

present Controller, she feels great Concern at being deprived of his help in the Active and direct management of Institutions in which she takes a deep & personal religious interest.

H.H. desires me to say that She has a special desire that no change should take place during the term of her Regency, So that she may be able to exercise none herself and transmit hereafter to her Son, the same powers of direction and Control over Palace Mujrai affairs through the Palace Controller, as were exercised by his father the late Maharaja through the same Channel.

H.H. feels sure of your Sympathy with her in the feelings which she has directed me to express

Sd/ J.A. Crawford

XXI

M E M O R A N D U M.

The Palace at present is in charge of the religious and charitable institutions noted in the accompanying statement. Most of them were transferred to the Palace from the State Muzrai Department in 1870-1, and the others were maintained by the Palace till 1892.

2. In recommending their transfer, the then Guardian, General Haines, wrote as follows to Government in his letter No.27 dated 27th May 1869.

" The Pagodas referred to by Major Elliot are chiefly the Krishnasami and Chamundesvari temples, which are the family religious institutions of the Maharaja rather than of general public interest. The religious ceremonies and observances of the Palace are so much connected with them that some desire is felt to bring the Palace opinion to bear upon their management more directly than can be done when placed in the hands of a totally distinct department, and as an efficient control can now be secured in the responsible agency appointed for the management of Palace affairs, I am induced to recommend the arrangements,

The four smaller temples noted in the margin are also intimately

1. Trinesvara temple.
2. Varahaswami temple.
3. Lakshmiramanaswami temple.
4. Uttanahalli temple.

connected with the above chief institutions and with the Palace, and may be included in the same management should it meet with the Chief Commissioner's approval."

3. The officer who succeeded General Haines, (the present Private Secretary to H.H.the Maharani Regent), gave his opinion on the subject in the following words in his letter No.39 dated 7th June 1869 " The Pagodas having been already in Muzrai Depart "ment, though one of them was left under the management of the "Maharaja, did not come under the settlement, and are therefore "nowhere mentioned in the printed correspondence. Their names are "also entered in the margin. The first of them alone was under th

1. Krishnaswami temple.
2. Chamundesvari temple on the Hill.
3. Uttanahalli temple below the Chamundi Hill.
4. Lakshmiramaraswami temple in the Fort.
5. Varahaswami temple in the Fort.
6. Trinesvaraswami temple in the Fort.

:"management of the late :"Maharaja,but the second :"is not the less a "family temple of His

"Highness. The others are less important institutions, but "similarly situated, No.3 being closely allied to No.2 and "forming a part of the same charge, and Nos.4, 5 & 6 being Minor "temples within the Mysore Fort in which the family religious "services are frequently rendered. As the Pagodas have long "formed a State charge in the Muzrai Department, it seems ex- "pedient to treat the chatrams in a similar manner according to

"to the intentions of the late settlement, though leaving them "under the Palace management."

4. Colonel Malleson, the next Guardian, wrote in his letter No.170 dated 7th November 1870 that the same reasons which were urged for the transfer of the temples applied to the case of the chatrams also.

5. The Chief Commissioner sanctioned the proposed transfer, in Secretary's letter No.167 dated 11th November 1870. In his letter No.44 dated 17th May 1871 the Secretary laid down that " full control over the expenditure to be incurred in respect of temples and chatrams in immediate connection with the Palace has been vested in you (Guardian) subject to the limitation imposed by the funds available."

6. Since the above transfer, these institutions have been completely and independently managed by the Palace authorities, and the Government never interferred therewith. The expenditure incurred was partly debited to the Palace, but in 1892 H H the late Maharaja ruled that the cost of these institutions should be wholly supported by the Government. vide Government order No.3191-2½-R.F.137-92 dated 29th August 1892; It must however be noted that no change was made in the scope and extent of the Palace management.

7. The Muzrai Department is, I conceive, placed in the hands of the Palace authorities on parallel lines to those of the Palace Barr, Body Guard, Band and Saluting Battery. In the latter Departments, the Government Military authorities do not interfere with the details. The certified expenditure incurred therein is duly debited to Government.

8. A new departure seems however to have been taken in the Muzroi Department from the date of the appointment of a Muzroi Secretary. Interference in details has been made from time to time. Dharmadarsies have been appointed to these institutions: but while their utility is more than problematical, their intervention in certain matters has been not only unnecessary but also irritating, and is further weakening the hands of the Executive.

9. The accounts of the Palace Muzrai institutions were allowed by the former Palace authorities to get into confusion, with the result that on the 16th December 1898 the present Controller had to report after a laborious examination that a debit balance of Rs.26,496-9-7 was pending adjusted (vide letter No.71 dated 16th December 1898. This amount was sanctioned by Government, and the accounts were squared up.(vide Government order No.1096-7-Muz.F. 51-98 dated 6th April 1899)

10. The present Palace Controller may be pardoned for venturing to &&&&&& assert that he has spent much pains and time in over--hauling the accounts and ascertaining the exact financial position of each of the various institutions committed to his care, and has further improved their management and repaired their damaged belongings. On a word, they are now much better than when he joined the Palace. Notwithstanding this fact, his powers have been much avoidable interference with his authority has been made by the Muzroi Secretariat, of which the following are the chief instances.

(1) The entire patronage of the Palace Muzroi Department was hitherto vested in the Palace authorities. The Head Parpatyagars of all the temples and chatrams used to be appointed by them, but these appointments are now required to be hereafter reported to Government for sanction &&&&&&& moreover, under Rubkari No.671 dated 20th February 1900 even petty vacancies and appointments, which are mostly rules by the hakdari system, are directed to be reported to Government.

(2) When revisions of establishments in all Departments are made, it is the rule for the Government to lay down only the scale, and leave the personnel to be

to be settled by the local authorities. In the case of the Palace, there has never before been any encroachment on the powers of the Palace authorities. But in the lists of the revised establishments of the Palace institutions received from the Muzroi Secretary every official and menial from the highest to the lowest, is appointed and named by Government. This cannot but lower and belittle the prestige of the Palace authorities.

(3) The Kangalara akki is a charity connected with the Maharaja's Chattram, of which the management rested exclusively with the Palace. Deserving objects used to be given ready and prompt relief on a personal enquiry on the spot. But from May 1898 this has been prohibited, although numbers of the most needy and distressed continue to apply to the Palace Controller, and although the funds admit of the charity being dispensed. The power was exercised ad lib by the previous Palace Controllers, when the funds were involved in confusion but notwithstanding that the present Controller has been the means of unravelling them, the prohibition is continued, even after the Chatram establishments and

and funds have been definitely settled. (vide Government order No.

(4) Repairs to the temples and chatrams under the Palace management were always executed through the Palace Maramut Department. But within the last few months, the Muzroi Secretary, perhaps in his capacity of Muzroi Superintendent, has been deputing his own subordinate to inspect and estimate for certain works, without any reference to the Palace authorities. At last in the case of certain works in the Chamundesvari temple, he has lately sanctioned an estimate for certain works and sent his own overseer for their execution, merely sending an intimation to that effect to the Palace Controller.

(5) The provisions, &ca., required for the temples and chatrams under the Palace management were always obtained by contract. The present contracts terminate with the current month. The Covernment have now issued an order (No.642 dated 12th February 1900) that the contract system should cease and purchases for cash should be made hereafter.

11. It is submitted that interferences of the kind above

instanced are calculated to lower and belittle the Palace authorities, and imply a want of confidence in them. They are exactly of the nature of those which the late Guardian and Chief Commissioner anticipated and deprecated in the correspondence quoted in para Supra. They will certainly lead to a collision of authority, which cannot redomed to the efficiency of the Palace management. They have moreover already encouraged the discontented and the idle to invent and spread false calumnies.

12. There is no reason whatever why the Palace Muzroi Department should be treated differently by the Government from the Palace Barr, Band, Body Guard and Saluting Battery. The fixed allowances being placed in the hands of the Palace authorities, the management in detail of these establishments should it is submitted be left to them.

Intd. M.M.

24th February 1900.

M E M O R A N D U M.

The Palace at present is in charge of the religious and charitable institutions noted in the accompanying statement. Most of them were transferred to the Palace from the State Muzrai Department in 1870-1, and the others were maintained by the Palace till 1892.

2. In recommending their transfer, the then Guardian, General Haines, wrote as follows to Government in his letter No.27 dated 27th May 1869.

" The Pagodas referred to by Major Elliot are chiefly the Krishnasami and Chamundesvari temples, which are the family religious institutions of the Maharaja rather than of general public interest. The religious ceremonies and observances of the Palace are so much connected with them that some desire is felt to bring the Palace opinion to bear upon their management more directly than can be done when placed in the hands of a totally distinct department, and as an efficient control can now be secured in the responsible agency appointed for the management of Palace affairs, I am induced to recommend the arrangement".

The four smaller temples noted in the margin are also intimately

1. Trinesvara temple.
2. Varahaswami temple.
3. Lakshmiramanaswami temple.
4. Uttanahalli temple.

connected with the above chief institutions and with the Palace, and may be included in the same management should it meet with the Chief Commissioner's approval."

3. The officer who succeeded General Haines, (the present Private Secretary to H.H.the Maharani Regent), gave his opinion on the subject in the following words in his letter No.39 dated 7th June 1869 " The Pagodas having been already in Muzrai Depart "ment, though one of them was left under the management of the "Maharaja, did not come under the settlement, and are therefore "nowhere mentioned in the printed correspondence. Their names are "also entered in the margin. The first of them alone was under th

1. Krishnaswami temple.
2. Chamundesvari temple on the Hill.
3. Uttanahalli temple below the Chamundi Hill.
4. Lakshmiramanaswami temple in the Fort.
5. Varahaswami temple in the Fort.
6. Trinesvaraswami temple in the Fort.

:"management of the late :
:"Maharaja,but the second :
:"is not the less a :
"family temple of His

"Highness. The others are less important institutions, but "similarly situated, No.3 being closely allied to No.2 and "forming a part of the same charge, and Nos.4, 5 & 6 being Minor "temples within the Mysore Fort in which the family religious "services are frequently rendered. As the Pagodas have long "formed a State charge in the Muzrai Department, it seems ex- "pedient to treat the chatrams in a similar manner according to

"to the intentions of the late settlement, though leaving them "under the Palace management."

4. Colonel Malleson, the next Guardian, wrote in his letter No.170 dated 7th November 1870 that the same reasons which were urged for the transfer of the temples applied to the case of the chatrams also.

5. The Chief Commissioner sanctioned the proposed transfer, in Secretary's letter No.167 dated 11th November 1870. In his letter No.44 dated 17th May 1871 the Secretary laid down that " full control over the expenditure to be incurred in respect of temples and chatrams in immediate connection with the Palace has been vested in you (Guardian) subject to the limitation imposed by the funds available."

6. Since the above transfer, these institutions have been completely and independently managed by the Palace authorities, and the Government never interferred therewith. The expenditure incurred was partly debited to the Palace, but in 1892 H H the late Maharaja ruled that the cost of these institutions should be wholly supported by the Government. vide Government order No.3191-2½-R.F.137-92 dated 29th August 1892; It must however be noted that no change was made in the scope and extent of the Palace management.

7. The Muzrai Department is, I conceive, placed in the hands of the Palace authorities on parallel lines to those of the Palace Barr, Body Guard, Band and Saluting Battery. In the latter Departments, the Government Military authorities do not interfere with the details. The certified expenditure incurred therein is duly debited to Government.

8. A new departure seems however to have been taken in the Muzroi Department from the date of the appointment of a Muzroi Secretary. Interference in details has been made from time to time. Dharmadarsies have been appointed to these institutions: but while their utility is more than problematical, their intervention in certain matters has been not only unnecessary but also irritating, and is further weakening the hands of the Executive.

9. The accounts of the Palace Muzrai institutions were allowed by the former Palace authorities to get into confusion, with the result that on the 16th December 1898 the present Controller had to report after a laborious examination that a debit balance of Rs.26,496-9-7 was pending adjusted (vide letter No.31 dated 16th December 1898. This amount was sanctioned by Government, and the accounts were squared up.(vide Government order No.1096-7-Muz.F. 51-97 dated 6th April 1899).

10. The present Palace Controller may be pardoned for venturing to ~~[illegible]~~ assert that he has spent much pains and time in over-hauling the accounts and ascertaining the exact financial position of each of the various institutions committed to his care, and has further improved their management and repaired their damaged belongings. ~~On~~ In a word, they are now much better than when he joined the Palace. Notwithstanding this fact, ~~his powers have been~~ much avoidable interference with his authority has been made by the Huzroi Secretariat, of which the following are the chief instances.

(1) The entire patronage of the Palace Huzroi Department was hitherto vested in the Palace authorities. The Head Parpatyagars of all the temples and chatrams used to be appointed by them, but these appointments are now required to be hereafter reported to Government for sanction ~~[illegible]~~ moreover, under Rubkari No.671 dated 20th February 1900 even petty vacancies and appointments, which are mostly rules by the hakdari system, are directed to be reported to Government.

(2) When revisions of establishments in all Departments are made, it is the rule for the Government to lay down only the scale, and leave the <u>personnel</u> to be

to be settled by the local authorities. In the case of the Palace, there has never before been any encroachment on the powers of the Palace authorities. But in the lists of the revised establishments of the Palace institutions received from the Muzroi Secretary every official and menial from the highest to the lowest, is appointed and named by Government. This cannot but lower and belittle the prestige of the Palace authorities.

(3) The Kangalara akki is a charity connected with the Maharaja's Chattram, of which the management rested exclusively with the Palace. Deserving objects used to be given ready and prompt relief on a personal enquiry on the spot. But from May 1898 this has been prohibited, although numbers of the most needy and distressed continue to apply to the Palace Controller, and although the funds admit of the charity being dispensed. The power was exercised ad lib by the previous Palace Controllers, when the funds were involved in confusion but notwithstanding that the present Controller has been the means of unravelling them, the prohibition is continued, even after the Chatram establishments and

to be settled by the local authorities. In the case of the Palace, there has never before been any encroachment on the powers of the Palace authorities But in the lists of the revised establishments of th Palace institutions received from the Muzroi Secretai every official and menial from the highest to the lowest, is appointed and named by Government. This cannot but lower and belittle the prestige of the Palace authorities.

(3) The Kangalara akki is a charity connected with the Maharaja's Chattram, of which the management rested exclusively with the Palace. Deserving objects used to be given ready and prompt relief on a personal enquiry on the spot. But from May 1898 this has been prohibited, although numbers of the most needy and distressed continue to apply to the Palace Controller, and although the funds admit of the charity being dispensed. The power was exercised ad lib by the previous Palace Controllers, when the funds were involved in confusion but notwithstanding that the present Controller has been the means of unravelling them, the prohibition is continued, even after the Chatram establishments and

and funds have been definitely settled. (vide Government order No.

(4) Repairs to the temples and chatrams under the Palace management were always executed through the Palace Maramut Department. But within the last few months, the Muzroi Secretary, perhaps in his capacity of Muzroi Superintendent, has been deputing his own subordinate to inspect and estimate for certain works, without any reference to the Palace authorities. At last in the case of certain works in the Chamundesvari temple, he has lately sanctioned an estimate for certain works and sent his own overseer for their execution, merely sending an intimation to that effect to the Palace Controller.

(5) The provisions, &ca., required for the temples and chatrams under the Palace management were always obtained by contract. The present contracts terminate with the current month. The Government have now issued an order (No.642 dated 12th February 1900) that the contract system should cease and purchases for cash should be made hereafter.

11. It is submitted that interferences of the kind above

instanced are calculated to lower and belittle the Palace authorities, and imply a want of confidence in them. They are exactly of the nature of those which the late Guardian and Chief Commissioner anticipated and deprecated in the correspondence quoted in para Supra. They will certainly lead to a collision of authority, which cannot redound to the efficiency of the Palace management. They have moreover already encouraged the discontented and the idle to invent and spread false calumnies.

12. There is no reason whatever why the Palace Muzroi Department should be treated differently by the Government from the Palace Barr, Band, Body Guard and Saluting Battery. The fixed allowances being placed in the hands of the Palace authorities, the management in detail of these establishments should it is submitted be left to them.

Intd. V.N.N.

24th February 1900.

Mysore
8/6/04

My dear Sir

I beg to return with
many thanks

General & Revenue
Secretariat.

Dated Bangalore, 6th February 06

Dear Sir,

The entire file connected with the Civil List has been called for by His Highness the Maharaja. R.F.137-92 connected therewith could not be traced in this office records. I now learn that the above file has been changed into Mzrai file No.11 of 98. I therefore request you to kindly order the file to be sent to the General & Revenue Secretariat. I request the favour of your kindly directing the papers being sent as early as possible.

Yours obediently,

Herewith Submitted
To [illegible] 10/2/06
A Anantasami Rao Esq.,
Secretary to the Government in the
Mzrai Department.

Genl.& Rev. Secretariat

15th February 1906

Dear Sir,

May I request the favour of your kindly directing Muzrai File No.11 of 1898 being sent to the General and Revenue Secretariat at your earliest convenience as requested in my D.O. dated the 6th Instant?

Yours Obediently,

To

L. Anantasami Rao Esq,.
Muzrai Secretary.

M. N. Krishna Rao Esq
B. A
Registrar,
Genl: & Rev: Sects

My dear Sir,

As requested in your D.O. letter Dy the 6th Instant as for the Revenue File No 137 of 1892-93 (Menus of Palace debitable to the Budget) which has been re-numbered as Mugrai File No 11 of 1898, I send the file in question. I beg that it may be kindly returned when done with as it contains several

1905-06

From

To The Secretary to Govt
General & Revenue Departments

Dated
Despatched Bangalore.
Received 17th } May 1906
General No.
No.

Enclosure No. 5.284

Has the honor to request
the return of the Muzrai file
No. 11-1898 (News of Palace
Charges debitable to the State
Budget) sent with this Office
D.O. dated the 16th February 1906
to the address of the Registrar
G.R.S, as per his D.O dated
the 16th ~~February 1906~~ inst.

By Order

Secretary

11/5/06

5152

From

Secy. to the Govt of His Highness
the Maharaja of Mysore,
Genl. and Rev. Dept.

To

[illegible]

Dated [illegible]

Despatched 2nd June [illegible]

Received

General No. 28316
No.

Enclosure No.

[illegible]

By Order,

D M Nanjundappa

Muz 1 A]

MUZRAI SECRETARIAT

190 —190

From

L. ANANTASAMI RAO, Esq., B.A
Secretary to the Government of
H. H. the Maharaja of Mysore,
Muzrai Department.

To

The Secretary to Govt,
GL and Rel Department

Dated 27/4

Despatched

Received August 1st

General No. 1347
Muzrai F. No.

Enclosure No

Ms Docket No 216 P/
2nd June 1906

With reference to the above
Ra, Mysore to request the
return of the Muzrai Inam
ii Palace Parges d Bidar
to the State Budget, Ram
with the objection to the
the Trustees
Ramaswamy
repeated

GPB—2,000—7-2-06

15/6/06

20/5/06

Muz. 5]

GOVT. OF H. H. THE MAHARAJA OF MYSORE.

MUZRAI DEPARTMENT.

190

From

The Secretary to the Government of H. H. the Maharaja of Mysore.

To

The Secretary
Genl & Rev: department
Bangalore.

Dated

Despatched 15th Feby 1907.

Received

Enclosures No.

General No. 3905
M. No.

This Office No. 1347 dated the 27th Aug 1906 requesting the return of the Muz: File No. 11-98 re Palace charges debitable to the State Budget

~~and subsequent reminder marginally noted~~

Has the honor to draw attention to the above and to request ~~to be informed as to what action has been taken in the matter and when a reply may be expected.~~ the favour of an early reply ~~may be expected.~~ is solicited.

By Order,

11/2/07

Secretary,
Muzrai Department

11/2/07

No. H6373

From 3976 / 26.2.07

The Secretary to the Government of His Highness
the Maharaja of Mysore, Genl. and Rev. Dept.

25/2/07

To

The Secretary to the Govt. of H.H.
the Maharaja of Mysore,
General Department.

Dated Bangalore, the 25 February 1907

Sir,

With reference to your [illegible] dated 25th August 1906 and enclosed No.3976 dated 26th February 1907 from the Deputy Commissioner of the Nilgiris, I am directed to inform you that the file mentioned in the latter and the report will be forwarded to your office.

I have the honour to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

for Secretary.

Accordingly 90

11-98

File was closed & was sent to the Nawab Sahib on duty with the Zenana [illegible]

Dear Mr. Balasundaram Iyer

Adverting to previous correspondence with your office letter no. H: 6373 of the 25th Feb 1907, I write to inform you that Murasu file 11-98 regarding Palace charges debitable to the State Budget are very urgently required by the Dewan in connection with the disposal of a Petition now pending before

in which many Murasu papers are included,

of your kindly arranging

to send the file immediately

Kind

29/7/07